Bioinformation 21(3): 459-462 (2025)

©Biomedical Informatics (2025)

DOI: 10.6026/973206300210459

CCESS GOL



Received March 1, 2025; Revised March 31, 2025; Accepted March 31, 2025, Published March 31, 2025

SJIF 2025 (Scientific Journal Impact Factor for 2025) = 8.478 2022 Impact Factor (2023 Clarivate Inc. release) is 1.9

Declaration on Publication Ethics:

The author's state that they adhere with COPE guidelines on publishing ethics as described elsewhere at https://publicationethics.org/. The authors also undertake that they are not associated with any other third party (governmental or non-governmental agencies) linking with any form of unethical issues connecting to this publication. The authors also declare that they are not withholding any information that is misleading to the publisher in regard to this article.

Declaration on official E-mail:

The corresponding author declares that lifetime official e-mail from their institution is not available for all authors

License statement:

This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. This is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

Comments from readers:

Articles published in BIOINFORMATION are open for relevant post publication comments and criticisms, which will be published immediately linking to the original article without open access charges. Comments should be concise, coherent and critical in less than 1000 words.

Disclaimer:

Bioinformation provides a platform for scholarly communication of data and information to create knowledge in the Biological/Biomedical domain after adequate peer/editorial reviews and editing entertaining revisions where required. The views and opinions expressed are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the views or opinions of Bioinformation and (or) its publisher Biomedical Informatics. Biomedical Informatics remains neutral and allows authors to specify their address and affiliation details including territory where required.

Edited by Neelam Goyal & Shruti Dabi

E-mail: dr.neelamgoyal15@gmail.com & shrutidabi59@gmail.com; Phone: +91 98188 24219 Citation: Vatsalya et al. Bioinformation 21(3): 459-462 (2025)

Spinal anaesthesia to caesarean section: Patient satisfaction

Tripti Vatsalya, Vikas Kumar Gupta, Rajni Thakur & Sonal Awasya*

Department of Anaesthesiology, Gandhi Medical College, Bhopal, India; *Corresponding author

Affiliation URL:

https://gmcbhopal.net/en/

Author contacts:

Tripti Vatsalya - E - mail: vdrtripti@gmail.com Vikas Kumar Gupta - E - mail: 1074vicky@gmail.com Rajni Thakur - E - mail: dr.rajni_1507@rediffmail.com Sonal Awasya - E - mail: awasya.84@gmail.com

Bioinformation 21(3): 459-462 (2025)

Abstract:

Patient satisfaction on spinal anaesthesia to caesarean section is of interest. Hence, data from 60 female doctors of various disciplines working in Bhopal city who underwent caesarean section under spinal anaesthesia during the last 5 years were included in this study. Factors associated with dissatisfaction were lack of communication and explanations for anaesthesia. Level of satisfaction with pain control was (86.6%). Pre-operative complications which scored highest are intraoperative shivering (10%), post-operative pain (20%) and backache (18.3%). Thus, the overall satisfaction among doctors receiving spinal anaesthesia for caesarean section was significantly high and major contributing factors for dissatisfaction need to be addressed.

Keywords: spinal anaesthesia, maternal satisfaction, caesarean section

Background:

Spinal anaesthesia is the choice of anaesthesia for delivery via caesarean section in most of the health care centers in India. There are various studies focusing on specific time frames (initiation of analgesia) [1] characteristics of analgesia (sensory or motor block) [2], mode of delivery [3], or incidence of side-effects and complications, but the whole process of delivery and experience is also important. Patient satisfaction is an important measure of the quality of healthcare. Satisfaction with anaesthesia can provide feedback for performance and involvement and revalidation of agendas for healthcare professionals. Patient satisfaction represents their attitudes toward aspects of care as well as patients' emotions, feelings and their perception of delivered healthcare services [4 - 7]. Therefore, it is of interest to report on patient satisfaction on spinal anaesthesia to caesarean section.

Materials and Methods:

This cross sectional survey was carried out on 60 female doctors of various disciplines working in Bhopal city who underwent caesarean section under spinal anaesthesia during the last 5 years. Doctors who received general anaesthesia and epidural anaesthesia were excluded from the study. A 12 item questionnaire **(Annexure 1)** was developed which included 5 sections to determine the satisfaction

- [1] Preliminary information
- [2] Communication and decision making
- [3] Intraoperative events
- [4] Post-operative care and occurrence of complications.
- **[5]** Future preference of anaesthesia

The questionnaire was distributed to the study population. Data was analysed using SPSS version 17. The satisfaction scores are presented as a percentage.

Results:

Total number of emergency cases = 31(51.6%)Total number of elective cases = 29 (48.3%)

As depicted in **Figure 1**, the percentage of satisfaction in different domains as expressed by participants is as follows:

Satisfaction with involvement in decision making during anesthesia:

Satisfied - 55 (91.6%) Not satisfied - 5 (8.3%)

Satisfied with communication and explanation given for anesthesia:

Satisfied - 46 (76.6%) Not satisfied -14(23.3%)

Level of satisfaction with pain control:

Satisfied - 52 (86.6%) Not satisfied - 8 (13.3%)

Initiation of breastfeeding:

Same day - 53(88.3%) Next day - 7(11.6%)

Overall satisfaction score:

Satisfied - 53 (88.3%) Not satisfied - 7(11.6%)

Future preference for spinal anaesthesia

Yes - 52 (86.6 %) No - 8 (13.33%)

Figure 2 expresses the contributory factors leading to anxiety among participants:

- [1] Baby =50(83.3%)
- [2] Anaesthesia = 4(6.6%)
- [3] Surgery = 6(10%)
- The major concern was related to the baby.



Figure 1: Satisfaction scores in different domains

Bioinformation 21(3): 459-462 (2025)



Figure 2: Contributing factors for anxiety among participants

Table 1: Complications reported by participants (%)

Intraoperative complications	Postoperative complications	Delayed complications		
Shivering - 10%	Pain = 20%	Backache = 18.3%		
Vomiting – 3.3%	Headache = 5%	Numbness in lower limb -1.6%		
Partial effect- 3.3%	Backache= 1.6%	Hypertension = 1.6%		
Hypotension- 5%	Vomiting = 6.6%			
	Shivering = 5%			
	Fever = 1.6%			

Discussion:

Patient satisfaction is an important measure of the quality of healthcare and is used as an outcome measure in interventional and quality improvement studies. Its measurement is required to fulfil performance improvement and revalidation agenda for healthcare professionals [8]. Spinal anaesthesia remains the most common mode for caesarean section, due to its advantages which include wakeful mother, minimal depression of newborn, post-operative analgesia and avoidance of risk of general anaesthesia [1]. For anaesthetic care providers, patient's satisfaction can be used to assess the actual impact of the procedure on the patients themselves. This can be assessed by a questionnaire which is more sensitive because the satisfaction tools in it involve various physiological and psychological aspects of patients. Here, we want to assess maternal satisfaction or problems after cesarean section under subarachnoid block, faced by medical personnel specially doctors. We have chosen doctors as our study population because being doctors they are well aware of the hospital environment and better know about every medical procedure. Thus, assessment of their satisfaction will definitely give us more reliable and valid data. This is very well proven by the 100% response rate of questions. Potential confounding bias like age, education, type, extent and duration of surgery were tried to be eradicated. Although confounding bias like the centre of surgery could not be prevented. It has been observed that the decision regarding the choice of anaesthesia is mostly suggested by the attending anesthesiologist (65.3%) and only 26.7 % of respondents were involved in decision making. It is very strange to know that (23.07 %) of doctor participants were not explained about the procedure. Participants in which surgery was on the basis of emergency had lower satisfactory score; lack of pre-anaesthetic visit, presence of labour pain, urgency of the surgery, busy schedule of an anesthesiologist or considering the knowledge of participants being a doctor are the contributing factors [9]. But this lack of communication between anesthesiologist and parturient can act as a negative predictor of maternal satisfaction. This has also been observed by studies of Porter et al. [10]. (11.4%) of participants were anxious regarding anesthesia and its complication; this can be attributed to poor communication which to some extent can be brought down by reassurance. Maheshwari et al. [3] in their study found a high level of anxiety among educated patients due to their awareness of complications. Yet some studies failed to show any correlation of anxiety with female sex [11]. Important factors causing dissatisfaction which were mentioned by the responders are shivering - post operative pain - nausea, vomiting, backache (Table 1). Kumar et al. [12] also noticed shivering as the most common side effect of subarachnoid block in parturient. A decrease in satisfaction score was observed in respondents due to post-operative backache reported by (31.4 %) of doctors. Backache is one of the complaints that can arise after spinal anesthesia, being one of the most common causes that make patients afraid to undergo spinal anesthesia and as many as 13.4% of the patients have backache as the major reason for refusing spinal anesthesia [13]. The cause of post-spinal backache is thought to be caused by inflammation at the injection site, back muscle spasm, and myalgia. Risk factors contributing to dissatisfaction were hospitals at which the anesthesia was administered and intraoperative pain. Fear of awareness, pain, several puncture attempts, and postural puncture headache were the main reasons for refusal to have spinal anesthesia again [14]. Initiation of breastfeeding has a strong influence on maternal satisfaction and all the responders were satisfied in this regard [15]. (86.6%) of respondents expressed their preference for receiving spinal anaesthesia in future which correlates with the finding of Makoko *et al.* [16]

Conclusion:

The patient's opinion and decision regarding the mode of anaesthesia should be given priority and an anesthesiologist must duly explain about the procedure to be performed (spinal anaesthesia) before surgery. Anesthesiologists should have good rapport with their patients which will allay the anxiety of the patient and hence increase the satisfaction with anesthesia taking adequate measures to take care of factors like shivering, pain, backache which contribute to dissatisfaction. Thus, these factors should be considered to enhance the satisfaction rate of patients who are undergoing cesarean section under spinal anaesthesia.

References:

- [1] Wu CL et al. Reg Anesth Pain med. 2001 **26**: 196. [PMID: 11359218]
- [2] Myles PS et al. British journal of anaesthesia. 2000 84:6. [PMID: 10740539]
- [3] Maheshwari D & Ismail S. J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol. 2015 31:196. [PMID: 25948900]
- [4] Bjertnaes OA *et al. BMJ Qual Saf.* 2012 **21**:39. [PMID: 21873465]
- [5] Jenkinson C *et al. Qual Saf Health Care.* 2002 11:335. [PMID: 12468693]

ISSN 0973-2063 (online) 0973-8894 (print)

Bioinformation 21(3): 459-462 (2025)

- [6] Iftikhar A et al. Gomal J Med Sci. 2011 9:183.
- [7] Rama M & Kanagaluru SK. International Journal of Business Economics and Management Research. 2011 1: 16.
- [8] Wu CL et al. Anesth Pain med. 2001 26: 196. [PMID: 11359218]
- [9] Adegboye *et al. Egyptian Journal of Anaesthesia*, 2022 **38:**236. [DOI:10.1080/11101849.2022.2069345]
- [10] Porter M et al. Birth. 2007 34:148. [PMID: 17542819]
- [11] Boker A et al. Can J Anaesth. 2002 49:792. [PMID: 12374706]
- [12] Kumar VRH et al. Indian Journal of Anaesthesia. 2014 58:149. [PMID: 24963178]
- [13] Aryasa T *et al. Bali J Anaesthesiol.* 2021 5:234. [DOI: 10.4103/ijdpdd.ijdpdd_72_21]
- [14] Sinbukhova E & Lubnin A. *Saudi J Anaesth.* 2019 13:332. [PMID: 31572078]
- [15] Teoh WHL et al. Singapore Med J. 2007 48:152. [PMID: 17304396]
- [16] Makoko UM et al. South African Family Practice. 2018 61:39.
 [DOI:10.1080/20786190.2018.1531585]

Annexure no 1										
Questionnaires for satisfaction after LSCS										
Name					Qualification					
1)	Indication of LSCS?	a) Elective				b) Emergency				
2)	Mode of anaesthesia?	a) Spinal block				b) General anaesthesia				
3)	Type of anaesthesia selected by	a) Patient (you)		b) Gynecologist		c) Anesthesiologist				
4)	Was the anesthetic procedure explained by the anesthesiologist?	a) Yes				b) No				
5)	Anxiety before operation was due to concern of?	a) Baby		b) Anaesthetic complications		c) Surgery and its complications				
6)	Intraoperative complications occurred?	a) Delayed effect of anaesthesia	b) Partial effect of anaesthesia	c) Vomiting	d) Hypotension	e) Shivering	f) Awareness (in case of GA)	g) Airway related complications		
7)	Post-operative complications?	a) Pain	b) Shivering	c) Vomiting	d) Headache	e) Others				
8)	Delayed postoperative complications?	a) Backache		b) Numbness in lower limbs		c) Any others				
9)	Initiation of breastfeeding?	a) Same day				b) Next day	·			
10)	Degree of satisfaction with the mode of anaesthesia?	a) Poor		b) Fair		c) Good		d) Excellent		
11)	Requirement of rescue analgesia?	a) 0-2 hrs		b) 2-4 hrs		c) 4-6 hrs		d) 6-8 hrs		
12)	Which type of anaesthesia would you like to prefer for future caesarean section?	a) Spinal Anaesthesia				b) General Anae	sthesia			