





www.bioinformation.net **Volume 21(2)**

Research Article

DOI: 10.6026/973206300210181

Received February 1, 2025; Revised February 28, 2025; Accepted February 28, 2025, Published February 28, 2025

SJIF 2025 (Scientific Journal Impact Factor for 2025) = 8.478 2022 Impact Factor (2023 Clarivate Inc. release) is 1.9

Declaration on Publication Ethics:

The author's state that they adhere with COPE guidelines on publishing ethics as described elsewhere at https://publicationethics.org/. The authors also undertake that they are not associated with any other third party (governmental or non-governmental agencies) linking with any form of unethical issues connecting to this publication. The authors also declare that they are not withholding any information that is misleading to the publisher in regard to this article.

Declaration on official E-mail:

The corresponding author declares that lifetime official e-mail from their institution is not available for all authors

License statement

This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. This is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

Comments from readers:

Articles published in BIOINFORMATION are open for relevant post publication comments and criticisms, which will be published immediately linking to the original article without open access charges. Comments should be concise, coherent and critical in less than 1000 words.

Disclaimer

Bioinformation provides a platform for scholarly communication of data and information to create knowledge in the Biological/Biomedical domain after adequate peer/editorial reviews and editing entertaining revisions where required. The views and opinions expressed are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the views or opinions of Bioinformation and (or) its publisher Biomedical Informatics. Biomedical Informatics remains neutral and allows authors to specify their address and affiliation details including territory where required.

Edited by P Kangueane

Citation: Subramaniam et al. Bioinformation 21(2): 181-184 (2025)

Effectiveness of nurse-led interventions on psychosocial aspects among adolescents

Santhi Subramaniam¹, Jamunarani Perumalsamy^{2,*}, Jeevithan Shanmugam³ & Umapathy Pasupathy⁴

¹Department of Psychiatric Nursing, Sri Ramachandra Institute of Higher Education and Research (Deemed to be University) Porur, Chennai - 600116, Tamilnadu, India; ²Department of Psychiatric Nursing KMCH College of Nursing, Coimbatore-641048, Tamilnadu, India; ³Department of Community Medicine, KMCH Institute of Health Sciences and Research, Coimbatore -641014, Tamilnadu, India; ⁴Department of Pediatric Medicine, Sri Ramachandra Medical College & Research Institute, Sri Ramachandra Institute of Higher Education and Research (DU), Porur, Chennai-600116 Tamilnadu, India; *Corresponding author

Affiliation URL:

https://www.kmchcon.ac.in https://www.sriramachandra.edu.in/

Author contacts:

Jamunarani Perumalsamy - E - mail: jamunarani@kmchcon.ac.in; jamunakumar2009@gmail.com

Santhi Subramaniam - E - mail: santhi11051968@gmail.com Jeevithan Shanmugam - E - mail: dr.jeevithan@gmail.com

Umapathy Pasupathy - E - mail: umapathy.p@sriramachandra.edu.in

Abstract:

Adolescence is a critical developmental stage marked by significant psychological and social challenges. Effective interventions during this period are essential for fostering long-term mental health. Hence, a true experimental pretest-posttest control group design involving 20 ninth-grade students from government-aided and private schools in Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu was completed. The eight-week nurse-led intervention included sessions on emotional self-awareness, stress coping, resilience building and mindfulness. The intervention group exhibited significant improvements in emotional regulation (mean increase of 9.8, p < 0.001) and resilience (mean increase of 22.6, p < 0.001) compared to the control group, which showed no significant changes. Further, a moderate positive correlation (r = 0.35, p = 0.05) was observed between gains in emotional regulation and resilience within the intervention group.

Keywords: Nurse-led interventions, resilience, adolescents

Background:

Adolescence represents a pivotal period of growth, marked by significant psychological, emotional and social challenges. Effective interventions during this phase can shape not only immediate well-being but also long-term mental health outcomes. School nurses, as accessible and trusted professionals, are uniquely positioned to implement tailored psychosocial interventions. This introduction explores the efficacy and mechanisms of nurse-led interventions (NLIs) aimed at improving psycho-social aspects such as emotional regulation and resilience among school-aged students, drawing on recent research evidence. The mental health needs of students are increasingly prominent, with many adolescents struggling with emotional and social challenges that can adversely impact academic performance and overall well-being [1, 2]. Studies underscore the potential of psychosocial interventions in reducing these issues and promoting adaptive behaviours, particularly when implemented in school settings [3]. Resilience, defined as the capacity to adapt positively in the face of adversity, is a critical determinant of mental health. Evidence suggests that resilience-focused interventions not only mitigate psychological distress but also enhance academic performance and social competence [4]. Emotional regulation, another core component, has been linked to decreased anxiety, better peer relationships and improved decision-making [5]. School nurses play an instrumental role in promoting emotional well-being through structured, evidence-based interventions. Nurse-led resilience programs have demonstrated significant benefits in enhancing coping mechanisms and fostering emotional resilience among students [6]. This, such interventions are particularly effective when integrated into the school environment, as they allow for timely identification and support of at-risk students [7]. Programs involving emotional regulation training have consistently shown improvement in students' abilities to manage stress and adapt to challenges. These findings are reinforced by meta-analyses highlighting the sustained impact of school-based social and emotional learning programs [8, 9]. Mindfulness-based interventions led by school nurses have proven effective in reducing stress and enhancing focus among students [10]. Therefore, it is of interest to assess the effectiveness of nurse-led interventions on psycho-social aspects among adolescents.

Methodology:

Research design:

A true experimental design with pretest-posttest control groups was used. Students were randomly assigned to either an intervention (study) or control group.

Setting:

The study was conducted in selected government-aided and private schools in Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu.

Participants and sampling:

A total of 20 ninth-grade students were recruited. Ten students were assigned to each group using cluster random sampling. Inclusion criteria included low resilience and emotional regulation scores.

Intervention:

The intervention included Nurse-Led Interventions (NLI) delivered over eight weeks. Sessions focused on emotional self-awareness, stress coping, resilience and mindfulness using role-play, discussions and videos.

Data collection tools:

The Emotional Regulation Questionnaire (ERQ-CA) and Bharathiar University Resilience Scale measured emotional regulation and resilience, respectively.

Data collection procedure:

A pre-test was conducted for both groups. After the eight-week intervention for the study group, a post-test was conducted for both groups.

Data analysis:

Descriptive statistics summarized data. Paired t-tests analyzed within-group differences and independent t-tests compared groups. Correlation analysis examined relationships between variables.

Ethical considerations:

Ethical approval, parental consent and student assent were obtained. Anonymity and confidentiality were maintained.

Results:

Table 1 showed significant improvements in the study group, with emotional regulation shifting from 30% low and 70% moderate to 60% moderate and 40% high post-intervention, while the control group showed minimal change. Resilience levels also improved, with a shift from 90% moderate to 70% high in the study group, unlike the control group, which remained largely unchanged. **Table 2** showed emotional

regulation increased from 21.8 (SD = 4.34) to 31.6 (SD = 5.62), and resilience rose from 83.7 (SD = 7.54) to 106.3 (SD = 8.84), both with p < 0.001, while the control group showed no significant change. **Table 3** revealed a moderate positive association (r = 0.35, p = 0.05) between emotional regulation and resilience gains, suggesting that improvements in one contributed to enhancements in the other.

Table 1: Distribution of pre-test and post-test levels of emotional regulation and resilience

| Measure | Group | Low (%) | Moderate (%) | High (%) |
|------------|-------------------|---------|--------------|----------|
| Emotional | Pre-Test Study | 30% | 70% | 0% |
| Regulation | | | | |
| | Pre-Test Control | 30% | 70% | 0% |
| | Post-Test Study | 0% | 60% | 40% |
| | Post-Test Control | 20% | 80% | 0% |
| Resilience | Pre-Test Study | 0% | 90% | 10% |
| | Pre-Test Control | 0% | 80% | 20% |
| | Post-Test Study | 0% | 30% | 70% |
| | Post-Test Control | 0% | 80% | 20% |

Table 2: Comparison of mean emotional regulation and resilience scores

| Measure | Group | Pre-Test Mean (SD) | Post-Test Mean (SD) | Difference | Significance |
|----------------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------|-----------------|
| Emotional Regulation | Study Group | 21.8 (4.34) | 31.6 (5.62) | 9.8 | p < 0.001 |
| | Control Group | 22.5 (5.25) | 23.3 (5.27) | 0.8 | Not Significant |
| Resilience | Study Group | 83.7 (7.54) | 106.3 (8.84) | 22.6 | p < 0.001 |
| | Control Group | 84.8 (8.43) | 86.9 (7.99) | 2.1 | Not Significant |

Table 3: Correlation between emotional regulation and resilience gain scores

| Group | Measure | Correlation (r) | Significance |
|---------------|---|-----------------|-----------------|
| Study Group | Emotional Regulation & Resilience Gains | 0.35 | p = 0.05 |
| Control Group | Emotional Regulation & Resilience Gains | 0.17 | Not Significant |

Discussion:

The results of this pilot study indicate that structured nurse-led interventions (NLIs) significantly enhance the psycho-social well-being of adolescents, particularly in the areas of emotional regulation and resilience. Specifically, the intervention group demonstrated substantial improvements in emotional regulation scores, increasing from a mean of 21.8 (SD = 4.34) at pre-test to 31.6 (SD = 5.62) post-test (p < 0.001), compared to the control group, which showed no significant change. Similarly, resilience scores in the intervention group rose markedly from 83.7 (SD = 7.54) to 106.3 (SD = 8.84) (p < 0.001), while the control group exhibited minimal improvement. These findings are consistent with existing literature supporting the efficacy of nurse-led interventions in fostering emotional and psychological strengths among adolescents. For instance, Alodhialah et al. (2024) demonstrated that nurse-led resilience programs significantly enhance coping mechanisms and emotional resilience [6].

Eva *et al.* (2017) found that mindfulness-based interventions led by nurses improved self-esteem and reduced perceived stress among marginalized youth [11]. Tang *et al.* (2014) further supported these outcomes by showing that meditation retreats facilitated by nurses resulted in significant improvements in emotional intelligence and self-regulation among adolescents [12]. Michelangelo (2015) and Raghubir (2018) highlighted the positive impact of nurse-led programs on emotional intelligence in adolescents, emphasizing the role of nurses in developing

these critical skills [13-14]. These studies collectively reinforce the potential of NLIs as effective tools in adolescent mental health promotion. Bernier's longitudinal study [15] further corroborates these outcomes, highlighting the sustained positive impact of nurse-led resilience interventions on adolescent wellbeing over time. These improvements suggest that NLIs not only address immediate psycho-social challenges but also contribute to long-term mental health and adaptive capacities. An intriguing aspect of our study is the moderate positive correlation (r = 0.35, p = 0.05) between gains in emotional regulation and resilience within the intervention group. This relationship echoes the findings of Surzykiewicz et al. (2022) [16], who posited that enhancements in emotional skills facilitate the development of resilience in adolescents. Additionally, Doras et al. (2023) [17] emphasized the interconnectedness of emotional regulation and resilience, advocating for holistic approaches in psychosocial interventions. Our findings align with existing research on nurse-led interventions (NLIs) in improving psychosocial well-being. Pandarakutty et al. (2019) showed NLIs significantly enhanced HRQOL in children with sickle cell disease, similar to our study's impact on emotional regulation and resilience [18].

Huang *et al.* (2025) found NLIs improved quality of life and reduced depression in people with HIV, reinforcing their mental health benefits **[19].** Varghese (2020) demonstrated NLIs effectively addressed psychosocial issues in institutionalized

elderly [20], while Mathew et al. (2020) reported reduced problematic internet use and better biopsychosocial functioning in adolescents [21]. Like these studies, our research highlights the vital role of NLIs in fostering resilience and adaptive coping in adolescents. Our study supports this perspective, suggesting that interventions targeting emotional regulation may inherently bolster resilience, thereby amplifying the overall effectiveness of NLIs. Despite these promising results, the pilot nature of the study entails certain limitations. The small sample size (n=20) restricts the generalizability of the findings and underscores the need for larger-scale studies to validate these outcomes. The short duration of the intervention (eight weeks) may not capture the long-term sustainability of the observed benefits. Future research should consider longitudinal designs to assess the enduring effects of NLIs and explore the mechanisms underlying the interplay between emotional regulation and resilience. In conclusion, this pilot study contributes to the growing body of evidence supporting the effectiveness of structured nurse-led interventions in enhancing emotional regulation and resilience among adolescents. By fostering these critical psycho-social competencies, nurses can play a pivotal role in promoting the holistic development and mental wellbeing of students, thereby creating a more supportive and resilient school environment. The significant improvements observed in emotional regulation and resilience among adolescents underscore the value of integrating NLIs into school health programs. School nurses, equipped with the necessary training, can implement evidence-based interventions that address the unique psycho-social needs of students. This proactive approach not only mitigates current emotional and social challenges but also equips adolescents with the skills needed to navigate future adversities effectively.

Recommendations for future research:

Future studies should aim to replicate these findings with larger and more diverse samples to enhance generalizability. Additionally, exploring the long-term effects of NLIs through longitudinal studies would provide deeper insights into the sustainability of the benefits observed. Investigating the specific components of NLIs that most effectively contribute to emotional regulation and resilience could further refine intervention strategies, ensuring maximum impact.

Limitations:

This study's primary limitation is its small sample size, which may limit the ability to generalize the findings to a broader

population. The reliance on self-reported measures could introduce response bias. Future research should incorporate objective assessments and consider a more extensive and varied participant pool to address these limitations.

References:

- [1] Mehra D *et al. Healthcare (Basel)*. 2022 **10**:337. [PMID: 35206951]
- [2] Schulte-Körne G *et al.* Dtsch Arztebl Int. 2016 **113**:183. [PMID: 27118666]
- [3] Tresco KE et al. Mind Brain. 2010 1:69. [PMID: 21152355]
- [4] Sisto A et al. Medicina (Kaunas). 2019 **55**:745. [PMID: 31744109]
- [5] Cisler JM *et al. J Psychopathol Behav Assess.* 2010 **32**:6. [PMID: 20622981]
- [6] Alodhialah AM et al. Healthcare (Basel). 2024 **12**:1647. [PMID: 39201205]
- [7] Fernandes A et al. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2023 20:1746 [PMID: 36767121]
- [8] Cipriano C et al. Research, Practice, and Policy [Internet]. 2024 3:100029. [DOI: 0.1016/j.sel.2024.100029]
- [9] Graziano PA et al. J Sch Psychol. 2007 45:3. [PMID: 21179384]
- [10] Liu YL et al. Sci Rep. 2024 14:13220. [PMID: 38851820]
- [11] Eva AL et al. J Evid Based Complementary Altern Med. 2017 22:580. [PMID: 29228794]
- [12] Tang YY et al. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2014 1307:104. [PMID: 24033306]
- [13] Michelangelo L. *Asia Pac J Oncol Nurs*. 2015 **2**:118. [PMID: 27981103]
- [14] Raghubir AE. Int J Nurs Sci. 2018 5:126. [PMID: 31497624]
- [15] Bernier P. Can Oncol Nurs J. 2024 34:173. [PMID: 38706643]
- [16] Surzykiewicz J *et al. Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2022 19:8007. [PMID: 35805666]
- [17] Daros AR et al. Nat Hum Behav. 2021 5:1443. [PMID: 34545236]
- [18] Pandarakutty S *et al. Advances in Hematology.* 2019 **2019**:6045214. [PMID: 32089692]
- [19] Huang H et al. International Journal of Nursing Studies. 2025 **161**:104916. [DOI: 10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2024.104916]
- [20] Varghese SM. *The nursing journal of India*. 2018 **4**:175. [https://www.tnaijournal-nji.com/admin/assets/article/pdf/9801_pdf.pdf]
- [21] Mathew P et al. Journal of Psychosocial Nursing and Mental Health Services. 2020 58:16. [PMID: 32396206]