



www.bioinformation.net
Volume 21(1)



Research Article

Received January 1, 2025; Revised January 31, 2025; Accepted January 31, 2025, Published January 31, 2025

DOI: 10.6026/973206300210078

SJIF 2025 (Scientific Journal Impact Factor for 2025) = 8.478
2022 Impact Factor (2023 Clarivate Inc. release) is 1.9

Declaration on Publication Ethics:

The author's state that they adhere with COPE guidelines on publishing ethics as described elsewhere at <https://publicationethics.org/>. The authors also undertake that they are not associated with any other third party (governmental or non-governmental agencies) linking with any form of unethical issues connecting to this publication. The authors also declare that they are not withholding any information that is misleading to the publisher in regard to this article.

Declaration on official E-mail:

The corresponding author declares that lifetime official e-mail from their institution is not available for all authors

License statement:

This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. This is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

Comments from readers:

Articles published in BIOINFORMATION are open for relevant post publication comments and criticisms, which will be published immediately linking to the original article without open access charges. Comments should be concise, coherent and critical in less than 1000 words.

Disclaimer:

Bioinformation provides a platform for scholarly communication of data and information to create knowledge in the Biological/Biomedical domain after adequate peer/editorial reviews and editing entertaining revisions where required. The views and opinions expressed are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the views or opinions of Bioinformation and (or) its publisher Biomedical Informatics. Biomedical Informatics remains neutral and allows authors to specify their address and affiliation details including territory where required.

Edited by P Kanguane

Citation: Malik, Bioinformation 21(1): 78-80 (2025)

Bisphosphonate and medication induced necrosis of jaws

Altaf H Malik*

Department of oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Government Dental College Srinagar-190010, Jammu and Kashmir, India;
*Corresponding author

Affiliation URL:

<https://gdcsrinagar.org/oral-surgery.aspx>

Author contact:

Altaf H Malik - E - mail: drmalikaltaf@gmail.com; Phone: +91 919469000615

Abstract:

The bisphosphonates are prescribed drugs to prevent bone resorption particularly in patients having certain malignancies. However, there is a potential risk of osteonecrosis of jaws in patients receiving dental treatment. Therefore, it is of interest to evaluate the chances of osteonecrosis in patients referred for management of osteonecrosis in whom dental treatment was carried out by the referring dental professionals. Hence, 86 patients were studied, where 18 patients had developed osteonecrosis particularly after dental extraction of which 13 patients were having underlying malignancy. We found that medication induced necrosis of jaws is more in patients with underlying malignancy or other co-morbidities. Moreover, extraction is a trigger in such patients for developing the debilitating condition.

Key words: Bisphosphonates, osteonecrosis, medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw

Background:

Marx and Stern described BRONJ (bisphosphonate related osteonecrosis of jaws) in patients on bisphosphonates for prevention of bone resorption; however an increasing number of cases are getting reported and recognized presently [1]. Due to increasing size of population with improvement of lifespan and unfortunate increased incidence of malignancies and other chronic bone wasting disease, the use of bisphosphonates and other anti-resorbative drugs has increased to counter the bone loss. BRONJ is defined as a condition where in there is exposure of bone for 8 or more than 8 weeks in mandible or maxilla in a patient who has been or are currently on bisphosphonates and have not received any radiation therapy. Bisphosphonates are currently exploited for the treatment of metastatic bone disease, hypocalcaemia of pregnancy, Paget's disease and other osteoporotic conditions. Very recently the literature suggests their role in osteonecrosis of jaws particularly after any sort of surgical or dental intervention particularly extractions of teeth in jaws [2-5]. The American association of oral and maxillofacial surgeons changed the term BRONG to MRONG meaning medication related osteonecrosis is of jaws in 2014 as other drugs like denosumab a RANKL ligand inhibitor and other antiangiogenic drugs were also found to be incriminated in the osteonecrosis of jaws [6]. MRONG is most commonly found in mandible with incidence rate of 68% compared to maxilla where it is 28% and it has around 4% incidence together [7]. Robert Marx in his study on patients of intravenous bisphosphonates observed about 75 % of Brong was post trauma due to some invasive dental procedure and 25 % of times it was spontaneous development particularly in patients with compromised oral hygiene [7]. Therefore, it is of interest to evaluate the chances of osteonecrosis in patients referred for management of osteonecrosis in whom dental treatment was carried out by the referring dental professionals.

Materials and Methods:

The study was conducted in the department of oral and maxillofacial surgery on the patients on antiresorbative drugs for different underlying medical condition and was referred for management of oral conditions after receiving dental treatments for their decayed or diseased teeth from 2021 to 2024. The study was approved by the institutional ethical committee with no OMFS 2021/47, and only such patients who were on injectable therapy for more than a year were included and followed, some of them had already developed osteonecrosis of jaws due to

negligent dental treatment like extraction or curettage by their earlier dentist (picks 1, 2, 3) and were referred for further treatments in our department. In this way we studied 74 patients who were on antiresorbative therapy. The study excluded patients who had received radiation or steroid therapy. The MRONG was diagnosed by clinical, radiological and histopathological examination to rule out any malignancy or recurrence. The patients were treated according to the protocol of stage of disease and were put on Vit E 500mg twice a day, pentoxifylline 400 mg twice a day after cardiac clearance and according to the stage. Debridement, PRF therapy or resection of diseased part was carried out wherever needed. In 7 patients a platelet rich fibrin membrane was used after surgery as dressing and wound cover. The data was entered into SPS software and Chi Square test was applied for compilation.

Table 1: The patients developing MRONG after treatment antiresorbative drugs with underlying conditions

N=86	MRONG Present	Mrong Absent
Malignancy patients	13	0 (p<0.01)
Other than malignancy	5	68 (p>0.1)

Results:

Out of 86 patients studied 68 patients had not developed osteonecrosis after dental treatment even after extraction in mandible /maxilla but all such patients were receiving bisphosphonates for osteoporosis. About 13 patients who had developed osteonecrosis were having underlying malignancy like of breast, prostate, multiple myeloma (Table 1). The other 5 patients were having MRONG were receiving bisphosphonates for osteoporosis. Of the 18 patients with MRONG mandible was involved as in 15 cases. In our series a total of 2.09% cases were found to have osteonecrosis and most of them were due to negligence and lack of information or knowledge by the earlier dentist is or quacks.

Discussion:

BRONG or MRONG is new age epidemic due to increase in malignancy and other bone wasting diseases and negligence with lack of proper knowledge in patients and health care providers is additional risk factor. Though MRONG can develop spontaneously however about 80% develop post dental treatment particularly extractions. The literature reports an incidence of 2.9% of MRONJ after tooth extraction in cancer patients and 0.15% in patients being treated for osteoporosis [8]. The other studies instead show that the incidence can be 18.6%

in relation to the dose and time of administration of bisphosphonates in cancer patients [9, 10]. A review of 114 cases of bisphosphonates associated osteonecrosis of jaws in Australia showed that 73% of the cases occurred after dental extractions. The frequency of osteonecrosis of jaws in bisphosphonate treated osteoporotic patients was 0.01%-0.04% and if dental extraction occurred 0.09%-0.34%. In patients on bisphosphonates for bone malignancies, the incidence was 0.33%-1.15% and after dental extractions 6.7%-9.1% [11]. A holistic and multidisciplinary team approach for evaluation and management of the conditions is recommended including a dentist, an oral-maxillofacial surgeon, and an oncologist and information about its usage should be given to masses and patients should be encouraged to inform about these drugs to their dentists before receiving the treatment of their ailments. In early stages, surgical debridement and coverage has been successful [12]. Osteotomies or resection are recommended only for severe cases [13-16], due to relatively high levels of morbidity and impaired quality of life for the patients prevention is a cornerstone to reduce the incidence of osteonecrosis of jaws and before starting bisphosphonate therapy, the patient should be referred for thorough dental evaluation to identify and treat any potential source of infection. Start of bisphosphonate therapy should be delayed by 4-6 weeks to allow appropriate bone healing [17]. In our study we carried resection for one case for mandible, in other 17 cases conservative measures like local debridement, curettage and PRF therapy was done for the relief of symptoms and personal hygiene instructions. In our study a greater propensity was seen for progression to osteonecrosis of jaws in patients with underlying malignancy with p value of < 0.01 (Table 1). Prevention and pre-emptive surgical or other treatments are crucial before the start of the therapy [18]. The incidence of bisphosphonate induced necrosis varies according to underlying medical condition and is more seen in underlying malignancies [19]. The treatment of bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw needs comprehensive and holistic approach but it is better to launch a campaign about its information in the general public and concerned professionals. The fellow medical colleagues should educate about dental clearance before start of therapy to eradicate potential trigger for osteonecrosis of jaws in the form decayed, diseased teeth or poor oral condition.

Conclusion:

Patients on anti-resorptive medication are at increased risk of osteonecrosis particularly among those who have underlying malignancy. Hence, proper patient awareness among health care

professionals is needed to prevent medication induced osteonecrosis.

Acknowledgement:

The department of oral and maxillofacial surgery was acknowledged for their help.

References:

- [1] Marx RE & Stern DS. Oral and maxillofacial pathology: A rationale for diagnosis and treatment. Chicago, Quintessence Publishing Company Ltd, 2012, p1008.
- [2] Ruggiero SL *et al.* *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2009 **67**:2. [PMID: 19371809]
- [3] Marx RE. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2009 **67**:107. [PMID: 19371821]
- [4] Marx RE *et al.* *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2005 **63**:1567. [PMID: 16243172]
- [5] Rosenberg TJ & Ruggiero SL. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2003 **61**:60. [DOI: 10.1016/S0278-2391(03)00566-4].
- [6] Ruggiero SL *et al.* *J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2014 **72**:1938. [PMID:25234529]
- [7] Marx & Robert E, *Oral and Intravenous Bisphosphonate-induced osteonecrosis of the jaws.* Quintessence, Chicago, 2006, p72.
- [8] Gaudin E *et al.* *Journal of Clinical Periodontology.* 2015 **42**:922. [PMID:26362756]
- [9] Khan A *et al.* *Osteoporosis International.* 2016 **27**:853. [PMID:26493811]
- [10] Ghanaati S *et al.* *The Journal of Oral Implantology.* 2018 **44**:471. [PMID: 29870308]
- [11] Mavrokokki T *et al.* *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery.* 2007 **65**:415. [PMID:17307586]
- [12] Lemound J *et al.* *Clinical Oral Investigations.* 2012 **16**:1143. [PMID: 21818568]
- [13] Carlson R & Basile JD. *Journal of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery.* 2009 **67**:85. [PMID:19371819]
- [14] Mücke T *et al.* *Journal of Cancer Research and Clinical Oncology.* 2011 **137**:907. [PMID: 20927569]
- [15] Seth R *et al.* *Laryngoscope.* 2010 **120**:2165. [PMID: 20824743]
- [16] Filleul O *et al.* *Journal of Cancer Research and Clinical Oncology.* 2010 **136**:1117. [PMID:20508948]
- [17] Ruggiero SL *et al.* *Oral Surgery Oral Medicine Oral Pathology Oral Radiology and Endodontology.* 2006 **102**:433. [PMID:16997108]
- [18] Madhumati S & Gonegandla GS. *J Maxillofac Oral Surg.* 2019 **19**:162 [PMID: 32346224]
- [19] <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK534771/>