Bioinformation 21(1): 58-61 (2025)

## ©Biomedical Informatics (2025)

DOI: 10.6026/973206300210058



Received January 1, 2025; Revised January 31, 2025; Accepted January 31, 2025, Published January 31, 2025

SJIF 2025 (Scientific Journal Impact Factor for 2025) = 8.478 2022 Impact Factor (2023 Clarivate Inc. release) is 1.9

#### **Declaration on Publication Ethics:**

The author's state that they adhere with COPE guidelines on publishing ethics as described elsewhere at https://publicationethics.org/. The authors also undertake that they are not associated with any other third party (governmental or non-governmental agencies) linking with any form of unethical issues connecting to this publication. The authors also declare that they are not withholding any information that is misleading to the publisher in regard to this article.

#### Declaration on official E-mail:

The corresponding author declares that lifetime official e-mail from their institution is not available for all authors

#### License statement:

This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. This is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

#### **Comments from readers:**

Articles published in BIOINFORMATION are open for relevant post publication comments and criticisms, which will be published immediately linking to the original article without open access charges. Comments should be concise, coherent and critical in less than 1000 words.

#### Disclaimer:

Bioinformation provides a platform for scholarly communication of data and information to create knowledge in the Biological/Biomedical domain after adequate peer/editorial reviews and editing entertaining revisions where required. The views and opinions expressed are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the views or opinions of Bioinformation and (or) its publisher Biomedical Informatics. Biomedical Informatics remains neutral and allows authors to specify their address and affiliation details including territory where required.

> Edited by Hiroj Bagde MDS, (PhD), PGDCR, PGDHHM, PGDL, PGDM E-mail: hirojbagde8@gmail.com; Phone: +91 9766105900 Citation: Alam *et al.* Bioinformation 21(1): 58-61 (2025)

## Impact of alveolar corticotomy-assisted orthodontics on root resorption and treatment duration

# Mohammad Khursheed Alam<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>, Mohammad Younis Hajeer<sup>4</sup>, Norah Noman Mohamed Alkwaykabe<sup>1</sup>, Alrouh Abdulaziz Alruwaili<sup>1</sup> & Ahmed Abdulrazaq Zaal Alfaleh<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Preventive Dentistry, College of Dentistry, Jouf University, Sakaka 72345, Saudi Arabia; <sup>2</sup>Department of Dental Research Cell, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Chennai 600077, India; <sup>3</sup>Department of Public Health, Daffodil International University, Dhaka 1207, Bangladesh; <sup>4</sup>Department of Orthodontics, University of Damascus, Damascus P.O. Box 16046, Syria; \*Corresponding author

## Affiliation URL:

https://ju.edu.sa/en https://www.saveetha.com/ ISSN 0973-2063 (online) 0973-8894 (print)

Bioinformation 21(1): 58-61 (2025)

https://daffodilvarsity.edu.bd/ https://www.damascusuniversity.edu.sy/

#### Author contacts:

Mohammad Khursheed Alam - E - mail: mkalam@ju.edu.sa Mohammad Younis Hajeer - E - mail: myhajeer@gmail.com Norah Noman Mohamed Alkwaykabe - E - mail: Noni20-20@outlook.sa Alrouh Abdulaziz Alruwaili - E - mail: alrohabdulaziz@gmail.com Ahmed Abdulrazaq Zaal Alfaleh - E - mail: irzgy88@gmail.com

#### Abstract:

The impact of alveolar corticotomy-assisted orthodontics (ACAO) on root resorption and treatment duration in orthodontic patients is of interest. Fifty patients were randomly divided into ACAO and control groups. Root resorption was assessed using CBCT and treatment duration recorded. The ACAO group exhibited significantly shorter treatment duration ( $10.2 \pm 1.8$  months) compared to the control group ( $16.5 \pm 2.1$  months, p<0.05). A slight increase in root resorption was observed in the ACAO group ( $0.8 \pm 0.3$  mm) versus the control ( $0.5 \pm 0.2$  mm, p<0.05), but no severe resorption was detected. ACAO effectively accelerates orthodontic treatment without clinically significant root resorption.

Keywords: Alveolar corticotomy, orthodontics, root resorption, treatment duration, accelerated orthodontics

#### Background:

Orthodontic treatment aims to achieve optimal dental alignment, occlusal function and facial esthetics. However, one of the most common concerns associated with traditional orthodontic therapy is its prolonged duration, which can impact patient compliance and increase the risk of adverse effects, such as root resorption, periodontal complications and enamel demineralization [1, 2]. To address these challenges, various surgical and non-surgical methods have been explored to accelerate tooth movement, with alveolar corticotomy emerging as one of the most effective approaches [3]. Alveolar corticotomy-assisted orthodontics (ACAO) is a surgical technique that involves selective cortical bone incisions to enhance tooth movement by inducing a transient osteopenic state known as the Regional Acceleratory Phenomenon (RAP) [4]. The RAP mechanism facilitates bone remodeling, allowing teeth to move more efficiently within the alveolar bone [5]. Compared to conventional orthodontic treatment, ACAO not only shortens treatment duration but also offers potential benefits such as reduced risk of root resorption and better periodontal outcomes when performed correctly [6]. Despite these advantages, concerns regarding the potential for increased root resorption in ACAO remain. Root resorption is a multifactorial process influenced by treatment mechanics, patient-related factors and the biological response of dental tissues to orthodontic forces [7, 8]. While some studies report that ACAO does not significantly increase the risk of root resorption compared to conventional orthodontics, others suggest the need for further evaluation, particularly with advanced imaging techniques such as cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) [9,10]. Therefore, it is of interest to evaluate the impact of ACAO on root resorption and treatment duration in patients undergoing orthodontic treatment.

#### Materials and Methods:

This prospective study was conducted to evaluate the impact of alveolar corticotomy-assisted orthodontics (ACAO) on root resorption and treatment duration in patients undergoing orthodontic therapy.

## Study design and participants:

Fifty patients aged 18-30 years, requiring fixed orthodontic treatment, were recruited based on the following inclusion criteria: absence of systemic diseases, no history of periodontal disease and no previous orthodontic treatment. Exclusion criteria included smoking, pregnancy, or conditions affecting bone metabolism. Participants were randomly assigned into two groups: the ACAO group (n=25) and the control group (n=25). Randomization was achieved using a computer-generated random number sequence to ensure unbiased allocation.

#### Alveolar corticotomy procedure:

The ACAO group underwent surgical corticotomy prior to the initiation of orthodontic treatment. The procedure was performed under local anesthesia using piezosurgical instruments to create precise cortical bone incisions in the alveolar bone. A full-thickness mucoperiosteal flap was raised to expose the alveolar bone and vertical and horizontal cuts were made to facilitate bone remodeling. Care was taken to avoid injury to the roots of the teeth. Following the procedure, the flap was repositioned and sutured and the patients were prescribed antibiotics and analgesics to manage postoperative discomfort.

#### **Orthodontic treatment protocol:**

Both groups received standard fixed orthodontic treatment using 0.022-inch slot pre-adjusted edgewise appliances. Light continuous forces were applied using nickel-titanium archwires and adjustments were made at four-week intervals. In the ACAO group, orthodontic activation began one week after surgery to capitalize on the regional acceleratory phenomenon. ISSN 0973-2063 (online) 0973-8894 (print)

Bioinformation 21(1): 58-61 (2025)

The control group followed a conventional treatment timeline without surgical intervention.

#### Assessment of root resorption

Root resorption was assessed using cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) imaging at two time points: baseline (before treatment) and at the end of orthodontic therapy. CBCT scans were obtained using standardized settings (85 kV, 7 mA and 10 seconds) and analyzed by two independent examiners blinded to the group assignments. Measurements were made for each tooth to determine the extent of apical root resorption, with differences in pre- and post-treatment lengths recorded in millimeters.

#### **Evaluation of treatment duration:**

The total treatment duration, defined as the time from the placement of the first orthodontic archwire to the removal of the fixed appliance, was recorded for all participants. Treatment progress was closely monitored to ensure adherence to the planned protocols and to identify any complications.

#### Statistical analysis:

Data were analyzed using statistical software (SPSS version 26.0). Descriptive statistics were used to summarize baseline characteristics, root resorption measurements and treatment duration. Independent t-tests were performed to compare the mean root resorption and treatment duration between the ACAO and control groups. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

#### **Results:**

## Treatment duration:

A significant reduction in treatment duration was observed in the alveolar corticotomy-assisted orthodontics (ACAO) group compared to the control group. The mean treatment duration in the ACAO group was  $10.5 \pm 1.7$  months, while it was  $16.3 \pm 2.2$ months in the control group, with a statistically significant difference (p < 0.05). Detailed values are provided in **Table 1**.

Table 1: Comparison of treatment duration between grou	ıps

Group	Mean Treatment	Standard	p-value
	Duration (months)	Deviation	
ACAO Group	10.5	1.7	< 0.05
Control Group	16.3	2.2	

## **Root resorption:**

The extent of root resorption was slightly higher in the ACAO group compared to the control group. In the ACAO group, the mean root resorption was  $0.8 \pm 0.3$  mm, while it was  $0.5 \pm 0.2$  mm in the control group and this difference was statistically significant (p < 0.05). However, the resorption observed in both groups remained within clinically acceptable limits. The data are summarized in **Table 2**.

Table 2: Comparison of root resorption between groups						
Group	Mean Root	Standard	p-value			

-	<b>Resorption (mm)</b>	Deviation	-
ACAO Group	0.8	0.3	< 0.05

©Biomedical Informatics (2025)

## Compliance and complications:

0.5

Both groups exhibited good compliance with the treatment protocols. Postoperative discomfort in the ACAO group was mild and resolved within one week. No severe complications, such as periodontal issues or excessive root resorption, were observed in either group. The results indicate that ACAO significantly reduces treatment duration (**Table 1**) while causing a slight but clinically insignificant increase in root resorption (**Table 2**). These findings suggest that ACAO is an effective and safe adjunct to conventional orthodontic therapy.

0.2

#### **Discussion:**

Control Group

The findings of this study demonstrate that alveolar corticotomy-assisted orthodontics (ACAO) significantly reduces treatment duration while causing only a slight increase in root resorption compared to conventional orthodontic therapy. These results align with previous research highlighting the efficacy of ACAO in accelerating orthodontic tooth movement [1, 2]. The reduction in treatment duration observed in the ACAO group is primarily attributed to the Regional Acceleratory Phenomenon [RAP], which enhances bone remodeling and facilitates faster tooth movement [3]. Several studies have corroborated this mechanism, reporting treatment time reductions ranging from 25% to 60% with corticotomy-assisted techniques [4, 5]. For instance, Wilcko et al. [6] reported significant acceleration in orthodontic treatment when combining corticotomy with bone grafting, emphasizing the effectiveness of RAP. Similarly, a study by Abbas et al. [7] highlighted that corticotomy-facilitated orthodontics and piezocision are effective alternatives for accelerating canine retraction while minimizing root resorption in adult patients. Root resorption, although slightly higher in the ACAO group, remained within clinically acceptable limits. This is consistent with findings from Harris et al. [8], who reported that the risk of severe root resorption in accelerated orthodontics is low when appropriate forces are applied. It is hypothesized that the transient osteopenic state induced by corticotomy may mitigate some of the mechanical stresses associated with orthodontic forces, reducing the likelihood of excessive resorption [9]. Nonetheless, care must be taken to avoid overloading teeth during accelerated treatment, as excessive forces have been shown to exacerbate root resorption [10]. The use of cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) in this study provided accurate and reproducible measurements of root resorption. CBCT imaging has been widely endorsed as a reliable method for assessing orthodontic outcomes, including root morphology and bone changes [11, 12]. Study by Alamadi et al. [13] has emphasized the importance of CBCT in detecting subtle resorption changes that may not be apparent in conventional radiographs. Despite its benefits, ACAO is not without limitations. The surgical procedure, although minimally invasive, carries risks such as postoperative discomfort, swelling and the potential for infection. However, these complications can be minimized with proper surgical techniques and postoperative care [14]. Additionally, patient acceptance of the procedure may

ISSN 0973-2063 (online) 0973-8894 (print)

Bioinformation 21(1): 58-61 (2025)

vary, necessitating thorough counseling to address concerns and set realistic expectations. This study has some limitations, including a relatively small sample size and short follow-up period. Long-term studies with larger cohorts are needed to assess the stability of treatment outcomes and the potential for relapse. Future research could also explore the integration of ACAO with other accelerated orthodontic techniques, such as micro-osteoperforations or vibration devices, to further enhance treatment efficiency.

## **Conclusion:**

Alveolar corticotomy-assisted orthodontics is a promising adjunctive technique that significantly reduces treatment duration with minimal risk of clinically significant root resorption. It can provide faster and predictable results while improving patient satisfaction and compliance when performed with careful planning and execution.

#### **References:**

- [1] Pandis N & Fleming PS. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2018 154:337. [PMID: 30075919]
- [2] Cano J et al. J Clin Exp Dent. 2012 4:e54. [PMID: 24558526]

©Biomedical Informatics (2025)

- [3] Hassan AH et al. Open Dent J. 2010 4:159. [PMID: 21228919]
- [4] Sebaoun et al. Orthod Fr. 2011 82:311. [PMID: 22105680].
- [5] Murphy KG et al. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2009 67:2160.[PMID: 19761909]
- [6] Wilcko MT et al. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2009 67:2149. [PMID: 19761908].
- [7] Abbas NH et al. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2016 149:473. [PMID: 27021451].
- [8] Harris DA et al. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2006 130:639. [PMID: 17110262]
- [9] Liou EJW & Huang CS. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 1998 114:372. [PMID: 9790320]
- [10] Weltman B et al. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2010 137:462. [PMID: 20362905]
- [11] Krishnan V & Davidovitch Z. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop.* 2006 129:469.e1. [PMID: 16627171]
- [12] Graber LW et al. Orthodontics: Current principles and techniques. 6th ed. Elsevier Health Sciences, 2016.
- [13] Alamadi E *et al. Prog Orthod.* 2017 18:37. [DOI: 10.1186/s40510-017-0191-z]
- [14] Kau CH et al. J Orthod. 2005 32:282. [PMID: 16333050]