Bioinformation 20(5): 532-535 (2024)

# ©Biomedical Informatics (2024)





# www.bioinformation.net Volume 20(5)

DOI: 10.6026/973206300200532

#### BIOINFORMATION Impact Factor (2023 release) is 1.9 with 2,198 citations from 2020 to 2022 across continents taken for IF calculations.

#### **Declaration on Publication Ethics:**

The author's state that they adhere with COPE guidelines on publishing ethics as described elsewhere at https://publicationethics.org/. The authors also undertake that they are not associated with any other third party (governmental or non-governmental agencies) linking with any form of unethical issues connecting to this publication. The authors also declare that they are not withholding any information that is misleading to the publisher in regard to this article.

# Declaration on official E-mail:

The corresponding author declares that lifetime official e-mail from their institution is not available for all authors

BIOINFORMATION

Discovery at the interface of physical and biological sciences

Received May 1, 2024; Revised May 31, 2024; Accepted May 31, 2024, Published May 31, 2024

#### License statement:

This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. This is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

#### **Comments from readers:**

Articles published in BIOINFORMATION are open for relevant post publication comments and criticisms, which will be published immediately linking to the original article without open access charges. Comments should be concise, coherent and critical in less than 1000 words.

#### Disclaimer:

The views and opinions expressed are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the views or opinions of Bioinformation and (or) its publisher Biomedical Informatics. Biomedical Informatics remains neutral and allows authors to specify their address and affiliation details including territory where required. Bioinformation provides a platform for scholarly communication of data and information to create knowledge in the Biological/Biomedical domain.

> Edited by P Kangueane Citation: Petkar & Chaitra, Bioinformation 20(5): 532-536 (2024)

# **Correction of presbyopia using 0.5% pilocarpine eye drops among Indians**

# Sharadhi Petkar<sup>1</sup> & MC Chaitra\*,<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, Sri Devaraj Urs Medical College, Tamaka Kolar, Karnataka, India; <sup>2</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, Sri Devaraj Urs Medical College, Tamaka Kolar, Karnataka, India; \*Corresponding author

Affiliation URL: https://www.sduaher.ac.in/

# **Official E-mails for authors:**

MC Chaitra - E-mail: chaitramc@sduaher.ac.in; drchaitramc@gmail.com; Phone: +91 8197226641 Sharadhi Petkar - E-mail: sharadhipetkar@gmail.com



Bioinformation 20(5): 532-535 (2024)

#### Abstract:

Pharmacological treatment of presbyopia-spectacle free senescence Therefore, it is of interest to estimate improvement of near vision with 0.5% pilocarpine drops in presbyopic patients. It was a cross-sectional observational study done at tertiary care centre history, comprehensive eye examination, including distant visual acuity distant and near vision, IOP. 1 drop of 0.5% Pilocarpine eye-drops was instilled in both eyes in Patients with full distant vision and impaired near vision, near vision was checked after 2hours. Among 55 participants, 48% were males and 52% were females. Mean age of patients was 44.8 years. Out of 55 patients 58% patients showed improvement of 1 line after instilling drops, 41% patients showed no improvement. Topical 0.5% pilocarpine in treatment of near vision is attractive option for patients and would increase compliance with minimal side effects.

Key words: Presbyopia, pilocarpine, near vision

# **Background:**

Physiological insufficiency of accommodation associated with aging is presbyopia. It is caused ciliary muscles weakening or a loss of elasticity of lens, Presbyopia is anticipated to be increased up to 2.1 billion people globally. [1] Presbyopia presents with problems such as inability to read fine print, need for increased lighting, diplopia, epiphora, headache fatigue or asthenopia, and other tasks, such as threading a needle or seeing fine details on nearby objects. Uncorrected presbyopia directly impacts quality of life of a patient. Hence presbyopic treatment by rest orating of accommodation is the topic of interest. Many treatments option both medical and are being practiced worldwide. Most of the treatments available nowadays are invasive as they are mostly surgical. [1] In recent studies suggest rigidity of lens as a main cause for development presbyopia. Contraction of ciliary muscle, leading to reduce the tension on zonules and further increases thickness of lens, constriction of pupil, and convergence of eves are 3 processes necessitate the action of accommodation. Although there are many means by which we can restore near vision, access by the patients (most often in the form of reading glasses) is very much bounded in some parts of the world, and it begins at around age of 40 and increases progressively with age. [2] Monovision contact lenses are an alternative option for spectacles; here lens is put on only one eye for near vision. But difference between focusing power of eyes, the depth discrimination, stereopsis, are affected. [3] Hence are in no much use. Multifocal contact lenses are also available but are inconvenient for some patients, who have never worn contact lens. They may also be associated with a risk of ocular surface infections. Hence are cautiously used. Corneal inlay, KAMAR is developed by Acufocus which has a circular aperture & is inserted in the cornea of one eye, pinhole effect is created by the aperture which further increases the depth of focus and improves near vision.[4] Topical treatments which are under study are said to work on various processes of accommodation. Such as miosis which is caused by parasympathetic stimulation, ciliary muscle contraction or softening of lens which in turn restores shape-changing ability of lens. Some disadvantages are bound to happen in each of these methods. Pure parasympathetic drops will result in decrease in diameter of pupil and myopic shift, which compromises distant vision, many adverse reactions have also been noted using these drops. Pilocarpine eve drops works by contracting ciliary body and inturn stimulating accomodation, miosis caused by this drug also increases depth of focus, which increases the depth of focus.

Pilolocarpine HCl Ophthalmic Solution 1.25% is a U.S. FDA Approved Agent for Presbyopia. **[5]** Different drug combinations have been tried & used in pharmacological treatment of presbyopia in recent years. Pharmacological treatment, gives us a benefit of having a spectacle-free condition with a less risk of ocular complications, compared to surgery. **[6]** Therefore, it is of interest to estimate improvement of near vision with 0.5% pilocarpine drops in presbyopic patients.

# Materials and Methods:

Study design: A Cross sectional observational study.

#### Source of data:

Presbyopic patients who visit Ophthalmology OPD at R. L Jalappa Hospital, Kolar attached to Sri Devaraj Urs Medical College, Tamaka, and Kolar, Karnataka, India.

# Study duration: 6 months

# Inclusion criteria:

All Patients aged 41-65 years of age who are emmetropic for distant vision in both eyes (6/6 by Snellen's Chart) but have difficulty in near vision only. (Jaeger's Chart < N6) [Who have spectacle dependent near vision and spectacle independent far vision].

#### **Exclusion criteria:**

- [1] Patients who underwent refractive surgeries.
- [2] Pseudophakics
- [3] Patients with other ocular comorbidities like dry eye, glaucoma, retinal problems.

After obtaining an informed consent, demographic details were noted. followed by a comprehensive eye examination which include distant visual acuity is checked by Snellen's chart and near vision by Jaeger's chart, extra-ocular movements, anterior segment evaluation with the help of slit lamp and fundus examination by direct & indirect ophthalmoscopy. Intra ocular pressure by non-contact tonometer was performed for all patients before instilling pilocarpine drops. Patients with full distant vision and impaired near vision was taken up for study. One drop of 0.5% Pilocarpine eye drops are instilled to both eyes of patient and patients near vision is checked after 2 hours of instillation of drops. Improvement of near vision after instillation of drops is noted. Data will be coded and entered into

#### ISSN 0973-2063 (online) 0973-8894 (print)

Bioinformation 20(5): 532-535 (2024)

# ©Biomedical Informatics (2024)

excel sheet. All quantitative measures will be presented by mean and Standard Deviation. Chi-square will be the test of significance. Continuous data will be represented as mean and standard deviation. Paired t test will be the test of significance to identify the mean difference between paired data. P-value < 0.05 will be considered as statistically significant



Figure 1: Distribution of males & females in the sample



Figure 2: Age wise distribution of near vision

# **Results:**

55 participants were included among them 48% were males and 52% were females (Figure 1). Mean age of patients was 44.8 years (Figure 2). Out of 55 patients 58% patients showed improvement of 1 line after instilling drops, 41% patients showed no improvement (Figure 3). In a sample of 55 presbyopic patients, pilocarpine instillation demonstrated a statistically significant improvement in near vision (Table 2). The mean difference (*d*) was -4/3 LogMAR units (SD = 1), resulting in a paired t-test statistic (*t*) of -9.888 (df = 54, p <0.05 *p*<0.05). These findings suggest a notable enhancement in near vision following pilocarpine treatment.



Figure 3: Improvement and no improvement with pilocarpine

Table 1: Table1:Side effects after pilocarpine

Side effects After pilocarpine	Number of subjects
Brow ache	2

Table 2: Age wise distribution of near vision

AGE GROUP	IMPROVEMENT OF NEAR VISION
40-45	32%
46-50	32%
51-55	30%
56-60	6%

Bioinformation 20(5): 532-535 (2024)

# **Discussion:**

Presbyopia treatment by pharmacological method is very fascinating option for patients. In this observational study, we investigated the impact of 0.5% pilocarpine on individuals with presbyopia. The application of 0.5% pilocarpine demonstrated a significant increase in near vision, indicative of positive clinical efficacy of pilocarpine. Participants of age group of 40-45 showed subjective satisfaction of increased comfort and improved visual acuity at near distances with no side effects. Positive feedback suggests acceptability if 0.5% pilocarpine in treatment of early presbyopia. Many drug combinations are under trail to reduce side effects and increase efficacy of currently available drugs. In our study we used minimal concentration of pilocarpine and observed one line improvement which was also observed in phase 2 trials by Price et al. [7] Maximum improvement was seen in age group of 40-50 years of age which shows its significance in treating early presbyopia. Only 2 among 55 subjects had brow ache following instillation (Table 1) which is also seen in >5% population in study conducted by Abdelkader et al. [8]. This proves low concentration of drug may show less adverse effects or increased comfort. In our study Improvement of near vision was just one line, which proves the fact that optimal concentration of pilocarpine should be 1% or more for significant effect on presbyopia. [9, 10] Thus, we have educated patients about the availability of eye drops for treating presbyopia where no patient in our study was aware about.

# **Conclusion:**

Presbyopia is presently being treated with a variety of newer pharmacological treatments, with encouraging positive results. Recent literature search shows many studies being done using different combinations of drugs for treating presbyopia. But with 0.5% pilocarpine for presbyopia, was done for the first time ever and there is one line improvement which can be used for patients with early presbyopia with very minimal side effects.

# Financial support and sponsorship: Nil.

Conflicts of interest: There are no conflicts of interest.

# **References:**

- [1] Katz JA et al. Clin Ophthalmol. 2021 15:2167. [PMID:34079215]
- [2] Van de Sompel *D et al. J Cataract Refract Surg.* 2010 36:1960.[PMID: 21029906]
- [3] Bennett ES. Clin Exp Optom. 2008 91:265. [PMID:18201225]
- [4] Moarefi MA *et al. Ophthalmol Ther.* 2017 6:55.[PMID:28390052]
- [5] Grzybowski A *et al. Asia Pac J Ophthalmol (Phila).* 2020 9:226.[PMID:32511122]
- [6] Haghpanah N & Alany R. *Eur J Transl Myol.* 2022 **32**:10781. [PMID:36121117]
- [7] Waring GO *et al. JAMA Ophthalmol.* 2022 **140**:363. [DOI: 10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2022.0059]
- [8] Abdelkader A et al. Eye Vis (Lond). 2016 3:31.[PMID: 27981057]
- [9] Grzybowski A & Ruamviboonsuk V. J Clin Med. 2022 11:1385.[PMID:35268476]
- [10] Francis W et al. Ophthalmol Sci. 2021 1:100065. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xops.2021.100065]