Bioinformation 20(11): 1570-1573 (2024)

©Biomedical Informatics (2024)

DOI: 10.6026/9732063002001570

OPEN ACCESS GOLD



Received November 1, 2024; Revised November 30, 2024; Accepted November 30, 2024, Published November 30, 2024

BIOINFORMATION 2022 Impact Factor (2023 release) is 1.9.

Declaration on Publication Ethics:

The author's state that they adhere with COPE guidelines on publishing ethics as described elsewhere at https://publicationethics.org/. The authors also undertake that they are not associated with any other third party (governmental or non-governmental agencies) linking with any form of unethical issues connecting to this publication. The authors also declare that they are not withholding any information that is misleading to the publisher in regard to this article.

Declaration on official E-mail:

The corresponding author declares that lifetime official e-mail from their institution is not available for all authors

License statement:

This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. This is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

Comments from readers:

Articles published in BIOINFORMATION are open for relevant post publication comments and criticisms, which will be published immediately linking to the original article without open access charges. Comments should be concise, coherent and critical in less than 1000 words.

Disclaimer:

The views and opinions expressed are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the views or opinions of Bioinformation and (or) its publisher Biomedical Informatics. Biomedical Informatics remains neutral and allows authors to specify their address and affiliation details including territory where required. Bioinformation provides a platform for scholarly communication of data and information to create knowledge in the Biological/Biomedical domain.

> Edited by P Kangueane Citation: Abdulrazzaq *et al.* Bioinformation 20(11): 1570-1573 (2024)

Exploring root canal outcomes and periodontal disease in diabetic patients: A review

Abdo Mohammed Mohammed Abdulrazzaq^{1,*}, Ali Mohammed Alyami², Fayez Hamad Al Aqil², Abdullah Mohammed Al-khomsan², Sulaiman Mana Al Hutaylah², Hamad Mohammed Al khamssan² & Meshal Hussain Alhammami²

¹Department of Preventive Dental Science, Faculty of Dentistry, Najran University, Najran, Saudi Arabia; ²Dental intern, Faculty of Dentistry, Najran University, Najran, Saudi Arabia; *Corresponding author

Affiliation URL:

https://www.nu.edu.sa

Author contacts:

Abdo Mohammed Abdulrazzaq - E - mail: amabdulrazzaq@nu.edu.sa; Phone: +96 6561855610 Ali Mohammed Alyami - E - mail: 437206028@nu.edu.sa; Phone: +966 509464240 Bioinformation 20(11): 1570-1573 (2024)

Fayez Hamad Al Aqil - E - mail: 441103870@nu.edu.sa; Phone: +966 536359301 Abdullah Mohammed Al-khomsan - E - mail: 441100061@nu.edu.sa; Phone: +966 502374859 Sulaiman Mana Al Hutaylah - E - mail: 436103848@nu.edu.sa; Phone: +966 534489128 Hamad Mohammed Al khamssan - E - mail: 441102845@nu.edu.sa; Phone: +966 509689572 Meshal Hussain Alhammami - E - mail: 439100044@nu.edu.sa; Phone: +966 536373113

Abstract:

This review of 14 studies (2000–2024) highlights a significant link between diabetes and poor root canal outcomes, emphasizing the need for specialized, evidence-based management to improve treatment efficacy in diabetic patients with periodontal diseases.

Keywords: Root canal treatment, diabetes mellitus, systematic review, apical periodontitis, endodontic-periodontal lesions, Treatment outcomes.

Background:

Apical periodontitis (AP), an inflammatory root apex disease, often persists in poorly controlled diabetics due to impaired PMN function and delayed wound healing, leading to more periapical lesions [1]. Poorly controlled diabetes increases susceptibility to oral infections, including apical periodontitis, which correlates with higher endodontic treatment failure rates [2]. Diabetes is a key prognostic factor for root canal outcomes, necessitating better-controlled, long-term clinical trials [3]. Type 2 diabetes is linked to higher apical periodontitis rates and slower healing post-root canal therapy [4]. RCT with apical periodontitis was more prevalent in type 11 diabetics, affecting treatment timing and glycemic control [5]. In diabetics, abnormal glucose levels affect root canal outcomes, leading to more periapical lesions and complications [6]. Therefore, it is of interest to show that exploring root canal outcomes and periodontal disease in diabetic patients underscores the need for tailored treatment strategies, as diabetes may impair healing, increase infection risk, and necessitate closer monitoring for complications.

Materials and Methods:

This review examines the evidence on root canal treatment and periodontal diseases in diabetic patients.

Articles identification:

A systematic PRISMA-based search in PubMed, ScienceDirect, and Cochrane identified relevant studies on diabetes, root canal, and periodontal disease.

Study screening:

The study selection followed PRISMA, with duplicates removed, and full-text articles evaluated by two authors for inclusion.

Inclusion:

The review analysed studies from 2000-2024 on root canal treatment and periodontal diseases in diabetic patients.

Exclusion criteria:

Database results were screened, duplicates removed with EndNote and titles/abstracts reviewed to include relevant studies only.

Data extraction process:

Two investigators independently extracted data, resolving conflicts through consensus.

Quality assurance and bias evaluation:

Two authors assessed bias risk using the Hoy tool, focusing on key factors [7].

Ethics and dissemination:

Since this is a systematic review of published literature, no ethical approval was required. Findings were published and presented at conferences.




Figure 1: PRISMA flowchart Study selection process for endodontic treatment in diabetics with apical periodontitis.

Results:

A search identified 728 studies; 702 were duplicates. After reviewing, 12 studies were excluded and 14 studies met the inclusion criteria for the final analysis. (Figure 1), (Table 1) and (Table 2).

Explanation:

Smadi (2017) found higher AP prevalence, ET, and AP/ET ratio in diabetics (13.5% vs 11.9%, p = 0.001), with poorly controlled DM showing significantly higher AP lesions (p = 0.001). Karolina *et al.* (2022) reported diabetics had higher AP prevalence post-RCT (OR = 1.51, P < .01) and a threefold increased risk of AP (OR = 3.38, P < .01). Juan *et al.* (2016) observed higher RFT prevalence with RPLs in diabetics (OR = 1.42, 95% CI = 1.11-1.80, P = 0.0058). Manuele *et al.* (2014) found no significant differences in success rates (62% test group, 80% control group, p > 0.05). Selen Nihal *et al.* (2019) showed significant differences in AP and cardiovascular disease between DM and control groups (p < 0.05), but no differences within DM subgroups (p > 0.05). José López *et al.* (2011) reported higher AP prevalence (OR = 3.9, P = .002) and more root-filled teeth (OR = 2.3, P = .043) in diabetics. Francisco *et al.* (2020) found T1DM patients had significantly higher RCT (OR = 10.435, P = .000) and AP prevalence (OR = 3.508, P = .011). Patrícia *et al.* (2012) reported higher AP prevalence in untreated diabetic teeth (10%) vs nondiabetic (7%, P = .03).

Table 1: The review included studies comparing the prevalence of Apical Periodontitis (AP) in diabetic and non-diabetic patients undergoing root canal treatment

Author (Publication year)	Location	Design	Sample size	Aim	Main findings
Smadi, 2017 [8].	Jordan	Cross-sectional	291	Compared apical periodontitis incidence in diabetic and non-diabetic endodontic patients	Apical periodontitis was more common in diabetic endodontic patients.
Karolina <i>et al</i> . 2022 [4].	Lithuania	Systematic review	15810	Connection between apical periodontitis, root canals, diabetes.	Significant relationship between apical periodontitis, diabetes endodontic patients.
Juan J <i>et al</i> . 2016 [9].	Brazil	Systematic review and meta-analysis	1593	Reviewed link between diabetes and periapical lesions.	Diabetes linked to increased periapical radiolucency prevalence.
Manuel <i>et al.</i> 2014 [10].	Portugal	Retrospective	737	Diabetes impact on periapical tissues, endodontic success.	Increased apical periodontitis prevalence in diabetic patients. with endodontic treatment.
Selen Nihal <i>et al</i> . 2019 [11].	Turkey	Cross-Sectional	129	Evaluation apical periodontitis prevalence in diabetic endodontic patients.	Apical periodontitis, bone destruction higher in diabetics.
José López- <i>et al</i> . 2011[5].	Spain	Cross-sectional	100	Radiographic evaluation of apical periodontitis in diabetics.	Apical periodontitis was more prevalent in endodontically treated patients with type II diabetes.

Table 2: The review included six studies analyzing the negative impact of diabetes mellitus on root canal treatment outcomes.

Author	Location	Design	Sample	Aim	Main findings
(Publication year)			size		
A Gupta et al. 2020	Faridabad	Systematic review.	773	Link between DM and periapical lesions	Diabetes significantly associated with
[2].				prevalence in RCT.	periapical radiolucency in root-filled teeth.
Xinyue Liu et al.	China	A Meta-Analysis.	1087	Association between DM and AP prevalence	Diabetes may increase apical periodontitis
2023 [14].				post- RCT treatment.	risk in endodontically treated teeth.
Suman Arya et al.	India	Prospective.	60	Effect of periapical healing in diabetic	Diabetes mellitus may negatively impact the
2017 [15].				patients assessed.	outcome of endodontic treatment.
Laukkanen et al.	Finland	Cross-Sectional	504	Assessed systemic health and tooth factors	Diabetes reduces root canal success,
2019 [16].				on root canal outcomes.	especially with apical periodontitis.
José P et al. 2021	Portugal	A retrospective	100	Assessed the association between root canal	Diabetes is a risk factor affecting the success
[17].		observational study		treatment outcome, and diabetes mellitus.	of root canal treatment.
Ashraf F et al. 2003	USA	Univariate and	824	Assessed periodontal disease impact on	Diabetic patients show increased
[6].		multivariate analyses		endodontic outcomes in diabetics.	periodontal disease in endodontic-treated teeth.

Analysis:

Gupta *et al.* (2020) reported higher AP prevalence in diabetics (OR = 1.42) and clinical studies (OR = 6.36). Liu *et al.* (2023) found diabetics had higher AP prevalence post-RCT (OR = 1.51, P < .01), with increased risk at the patient level (OR = 3.38, P < .01), and subgroup analysis showed significance (P < .05). Arya *et al.* (2017) observed reduced periapical scores post-treatment, with diabetics showing less healing (43%) vs. non-diabetics (80%) at 12 months (P < .05). Laukkanen *et al.* (2019) found RCT success rates were lower in DM patients (73.2%) vs. controls (85.6%) (P = 0.043). José *et al.* (2021) reported lower RCT success in diabetics (P < .001). Animal studies by Ashraf *et al.* (2003)

showed increased glycemia (P < .001), AP area (P < .05), and lower VEGF (P < .05). Diabetes history was associated with reduced RCT success (P < .01), highlighting the need for tailored endodontic approaches.

Discussion:

The literature consistently shows a significant association between diabetes mellitus, particularly type 2 diabetes (T2DM), and increased prevalence of apical periodontitis (AP). Diabetic patients, especially those with T2DM, experience higher rates of AP compared to non-diabetics, as evidenced by cross-sectional studies (*e.g.*, Smadi, 2017; Selen Nihal *et al.* 2019) and systematic Bioinformation 20(11): 1570-1573 (2024)

reviews (Karolina et al. 2022; Juan et al. 2016). This highlights the need for specialized endodontic care for diabetic patients. Diabetes also affects endodontic treatment outcomes, with studies indicating higher rates of root canal treatment (RCT) failure in diabetics. Research by José et al. (2011) and Francisco et al. (2020) shows a higher incidence of AP in root-filled teeth among diabetics. Further studies by Gupta et al. (2020) and Liu et al. (2023) emphasize compromised immune response and chronic inflammation in diabetes, contributing to higher rates of radiolucent periapical lesions. These findings are consistent across diverse regions, including Jordan, Brazil, Lithuania, Portugal, and Turkey, reinforcing the robust association between diabetes and AP. Studies using various methodologies converge on similar conclusions regarding the negative impact of diabetes on endodontic outcomes. Diabetes also impairs periapical healing, as demonstrated by Arva et al. (2017) and Laukkanen et al. (2019), with reduced healing and lower success rates in diabetic patients. Additionally, studies like Ashraf et al. (2003) show that diabetic patients with endodontically treated teeth have a higher prevalence of periodontal disease, further complicating treatment outcomes. The clinical implications call for tailored care, including frequent follow-ups, patient education, and potentially specialist referrals. However, research limitations, including confounding comorbidities and lack of standardized treatment protocols, suggest the need for more controlled studies and comprehensive patient assessments to improve endodontic care in diabetics.

Conclusion:

This research clarified the adverse effects of root canal treatment and the increased Incidence of apical periodontitis (AP) in diabetic patients. By integrating and critically assessing existing evidence, the study identified key knowledge gaps and provided valuable insights into the challenges diabetic individuals face during root canal procedures. This synthesis was essential in developing evidence-based guidelines for managing diabetic patients needing root canal treatment. The findings underscore the necessity of customized treatment planning, including risk assessment, tailored treatment plans, comprehensive pre- and post-treatment care, on-going education, and further research. Additionally, they emphasize the importance of addressing diabetes-specific complications to enhance clinical outcomes.

References:

- [1] Lima SMF et al. Int Endod J. 2013 46:700 [PMID: 23442003].
- [2] Gupta A et al. Int Endod J. 2020 53:1472 [PMID: 32654191].
- [3] Nagendrababu V *et al. Int Endod J.* 2020 **53**:455 [PMID: 31721243].
- [4] Budreikaitė K *et al. Stomatologija.* 2022 **24**:100 [PMID: 37154421].
- [5] López-López J et al. J Endod. 2011 37:598 [PMID: 21496655].
- [6] Fouad AF & Burleson J. J Am Dent Assoc. 2003 134:43 [PMID: 12555956].
- [7] Hoy D et al. J Clin Epidemiol. 2012 65:934 [PMID: 22742910].
- [8] Smadi L. J Contemp Dent Pract. 2017 18:358 [PMID: 28512272].
- [9] Segura-Egea JJ *et al. Clin Oral Investig.* 2016 **20**:1133 [PMID: 27055847].
- [10] Ferreira MM et al. Acta Med Port. 2014 27:15 [PMID: 24581189].
- [11] Sisli SN. Med Princ Pract. 2019 28:533 [PMID: 30999319].
- [12] Limeira FIR et al. J Endod. 2020 46:756 [PMID: 32299700].
- [13] Marotta PS et al. J Endod. 2012 38:297 [PMID: 22341063].
- [14] Liu X et al. J Endod. 2023 49:1605 [PMID: 37506763].
- [15] Arya S et al. J Endod. 2017 43:1623 [PMID: 28803674].
- [16] Laukkanen E et al. Int Endod J. 2019 52:1417 [PMID: 31074887].
- [17] Martinho JP et al. Int Endod J. 2021 54:1678 [PMID: 33999433].