Bioinformation 20(10): 1410-1412 (2024)

©Biomedical Informatics (2024)

BIOINFORMATION Discovery at the interface of physical and biological sciences



www.bioinformation.net Volume 20(10)

Received October 1, 2024; Revised October 31, 2024; Accepted October 31, 2024, Published October 31, 2024

BIOINFORMATION 2022 Impact Factor (2023 release) is 1.9.

Declaration on Publication Ethics:

The author's state that they adhere with COPE guidelines on publishing ethics as described elsewhere at https://publicationethics.org/. The authors also undertake that they are not associated with any other third party (governmental or non-governmental agencies) linking with any form of unethical issues connecting to this publication. The authors also declare that they are not withholding any information that is misleading to the publisher in regard to this article.

Declaration on official E-mail:

The corresponding author declares that lifetime official e-mail from their institution is not available for all authors

License statement:

This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. This is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License

Comments from readers:

Articles published in BIOINFORMATION are open for relevant post publication comments and criticisms, which will be published immediately linking to the original article without open access charges. Comments should be concise, coherent and critical in less than 1000 words.

Disclaimer:

The views and opinions expressed are those of the author(s) and do not reflect the views or opinions of Bioinformation and (or) its publisher Biomedical Informatics. Biomedical Informatics remains neutral and allows authors to specify their address and affiliation details including territory where required. Bioinformation provides a platform for scholarly communication of data and information to create knowledge in the Biological/Biomedical domain.

Edited by Neelam Goyal & Shruti Dabi

E-mail: dr.neelamgoval15@gmail.com & shrutidabi59@gmail.com; Phone +91 98188 24219 Citation: Desai et al. Bioinformation 20(10): 1410-1412 (2024)

Effect of conventional physiotherapy versus strain counter for trapezitis patients

Alok Desai^{1,*}, S. Anandh² & Dhirajkumar Mane³

¹Krishna College of Physiotherapy, Krishna Vishwa Vidyapeeth (DU), Karad, Malkapur, Karad, Maharashtra, India; ²Department of Community Health, Krishna College of Physiotherapy, Krishna Vishwa Vidyapeeth (DU), Karad, Malkapur, Karad, Maharashtra, India; ³Statistician, Directorate of Research, Krishna Vishwa Vidyapeeth (DU), Karad, Malkapur, Karad, Maharashtra, India; *Corresponding author

Affiliation URL: https://kvv.edu.in/

Author contacts:

Alok Desai - E - mail: alokdesai99@outlook.com S. Anandh - E - mail: anandh73@gmail.com



Research Article

DOI: 10.6026/9732063002001410

Bioinformation 20(10): 1410-1412 (2024)

Dhirajkumar Mane - E - mail: dhirajmane123@gmail.com

Abstract:

On average 38.7% of the adult population experiences neck pain due to trapezitis (TPZ). Therefore, it is of interest to determine the efficacy of strain-counterstain (SCS) technique in treatment of TPZ. 40 participants were randomly allocated to two groups, where group 1 received conventional physiotherapy treatment, while Group 2 received the experimental SCS treatment program with 20 patients each. We found that, group 2 showed significant improvements in range of motion, pain, and neck disability outcome scores. We conclude that, (SCS) can be used as a low-cost and effective treatment for TPZ.

Methods:

Keywords: Physiotherapy, strain-counterstain (SCS), tirapazamine (TPZ), pain, neck disability.

Background:

A study has shown that TP is characterized by acute or persistent pain in the neck and shoulders. Furthermore, it is estimated that approximately 38.7% of the adult population suffers from neck pain attributable to TP [1]. A study has indicated that monotonous jobs characterized by highly repetitive tasks, forceful exertions, elevated levels of static contractions, prolonged static loads, constrained work postures, or a combination of these factors may contribute to the development of neck and shoulder disorders, including trapezitis, within the working population [2]. Of the 20% of persons who suffer from severe chronic pain in the shoulder and neck regions, 10% to 20% have persistent TP. Among women, especially in low-income groups, the PS variant of TP has a higher prevalence. The much greater prevalence in women indicates that gender plays a significant role in the development of neck diseases. In comparison to males, women are more prone to have chronic neck pain and are more often impacted by it [2]. Studies have also shown that, the content of their jobs might explain this difference [3, 4]. A study has shown some evidence for the short-term relief of myofascial trigger points using Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS) [5]. Ultrasound therapy may be as effective as a placebo or just slightly more effective than conventional treatments for myofascial trigger points, according to a study that has shown conflicting evidence about its effectiveness [6]. The use of ultrasound as a therapeutic modulator has been shown in a study, although it is not recommended for people of any age [6]. Addition to this, studies have shown that, stretching of the upper TP muscle appears to have an instant improvement in pain [5, 6]. Another study showed that it is utilized worldwide as a result of its effectiveness, simplicity, low cost, and ease of shipment. It also reduces edema, nerve conduction rates, cellular metabolism, and local blood flow [7]. Another study found that the Strain Counter Strain (SCS) is an osteopathic technique that employs indirect manipulation to alleviate pain and restore muscle, bone, and joint function [8]. SCS is utilized to control sensitive points in such a way that the pain is reduced by at least 70% in order to determine the position of ease. It is suggested that the minimum duration required to sustain a position of ease is 90 seconds [9]. Studies have also shown that proprioceptive and nociceptive processes work together to shorten or fold over aberrant tissues in positional release [9, 10]. Therefore, it is of interest to evaluate the efficiency of SOS technique for treating TPZ patients.

The current study was conducted at Krishna College of Physiotherapy. 40 subjects were further divided into 20 subjects each. The assessment was taken before the treatment using the outcome measures. The patients were assessed for pain, cervical ROM and neck disability. Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) was used to assess pain at rest and on activity. It is a widely accepted and reliable scale for pain assessment indicating no or minimum pain at 0 and maximum pain at 10. Similarly, cervical lateral flexion was measured using a goniometer. Neck disability was assessed using the Neck Disability Index (NDI). Group 1 received conventional physiotherapy treatment 3 times a week for 4 weeks. U/S was set at 0.8 W/cm² and was applied for 15 minutes. Cryotherapy was administered using the direct application of an ice pack for 15 minutes. Frequency was set to 100 Hz and duty cycles of 250s. Group 2 had SCS technique treatment three times per week for four weeks. To lessen the reported transient receptor potential (Trp) pain, the SCS technique was provided to the patients in supine lying while the practitioner positioned the ipsilateral arm in flexion, abduction, and external rotation at weeks 1 and 2. Once the position of ease has been found, pressure is given to the Trp for 20-30 seconds repeated three times. Cryotherapy before being was administered using the direct application of an ice pack for 15 minutes. At week 3 & 4, the SCS technique was used with the patients in supine lying while the practitioner positioned the ipsilateral arm in flexion, abduction and external rotation to reduce the reported Trp pain. Once the position of ease has been established, pressure is given to the Trp for 30-40 seconds and then repeated five times. Cryotherapy was administered using the direct application of an ice pack for 15 minutes.

Statistical analysis:

Using IBM SPSS Version 23, pre- and post-intervention scores for all outcomes were compared using the unpaired 't' test, with a significance level set at 95%.

Table 1: Comparison of group 1

	Pre-intervention Mean± SD	Post-intervention Mean± SD	p-value
At rest	4.1±0.7	2.7±0.6	>0.001
On activity	6.1±0.8	3.5±0.5	>0.001
Table 2: Comp	arison group ?		
	unson group 2		
	Pre-intervention Mean± SD	Post-intervention Mean± SD	p-value

ISSN 0973-2063 (online) 0973-8894 (print)

Bioinformation 20(10): 1410-1412 (2024)

On activit	y 6.2±0.7	2.8±0.5	>0.001		
Table 3: Comparison b/w group 1&2					
	Pre-intervention Mean± SD	Post-intervention	p-value		
		Mean± SD	-		
Group 1	27.8± 5.8	36.6± 6.0	0.055		
Group 2	26.7± 5.0	37.8± 5.5	0.051		
Table 4: Comparison of group 1 & 2					
	Pre-intervention Mean + SD	Post-intervention	n-value		

	Pre-intervention Mean ± SD	Post-intervention Mean ± SD	p-value
Group 1	12.4± 3.9	7.2±3.1	0.0204
Group 2	14.1± 3.4	6.1±3.2	>0.001
-			

Results:

Comparisons were made between the subjects in both groups who completed the trial using an unpaired't' test (n=20 versus n=20). **Table 1** shows that, both at rest and on activity showed statistically significant difference as the p value was >0.001 respectively. **Table 2** shows that, both the variables showed statistically significant difference as the p value was >0.001 respectively. **Table 3** shows that, both the variables showed statistically non-significant difference as the p value was >0.055 for group 1 while 0.051 for group 2 respectively. **Table 4** shows that, both the variables showed statistically non-significant difference as the p value was 0.0204 for group 1 while significant difference >0.001 for group 2 respectively.

Discussion:

A study have shown that, around 38% of the adult population suffers from neck pain due to TP, thus it is essential to find an effective and cost-efficient treatment for this burden on society [1]. It was found in our study that, there was a statistically significant difference in the reduction of pain, CROM and NDI scores between Group 1 & 2. Group 2 showed significant improvement across all outcomes. Moreover, treatment protocols for managing TP can be developed inculcating SCS as the primary intervention. This would not only, help to reduce the treatment time but also, to reduce the cost of treatment. As this treatment does not entail using any electrical modalities, the chances of electrical mishaps are greatly reduced. The limitation of the study includes small sample size, short duration of research and patients that were recruited were limited to only 1 geographical location. It has been shown that both straincounterstrain and ischemic compression can help people with neck pain caused by trigger points in the upper trapezius muscle reduce their pain and disability index scores and improve their cervical range of motion in lateral flexion [11]. Both PRT and TENS are helpful in lowering pain in patients who have trapezitis. When it comes to relieving the pain associated with trapezitis, however, TENS results in less effective pain relief than

PRT **[12].** In patients with upper trapezius trigger points, a combination of conventional physical therapy and strain counterstrain is somewhat more effective than conventional physical therapy alone in terms of reducing pain and functional impairment and increasing cervical range of motion. The results of this research contribute to an increasing body of information supporting the use of strain counterstrain as a component of the treatment of myofascial trigger points **[13]**.

Conclusion:

Data shows that Group 2 showed statistically significant improvement in individuals diagnosed with TP. Thus, SCS can be used as a low-cost, but effective treatment for TP. The improvements acquired during this study were not traced for longer duration; hence we cannot mention the enduring effect of the treatment protocol.

References:

- [1] Kumar GY et al. International journal of physical education, sports and Health. 2015 1:22.
- [2] Meseguer AA *et al. Clinical chiropractic.* 2006 9:112. [DOI:10.1016/j.clch.2006.06.003]
- [3] Adam Perreault MEd AT *et al.* Athletic Training & Sports Health Care. 2009 1:214.[DOI:10.3928/19425864-20090826-05]
- [4] Chorsiya V. International Journal of Scientific Research. 2013
 2:411[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/2791970 88]
- [5] Alghadir AH *et al. Bio Med research international.* 2020 2020:9361405. [PMID: 32258159]
- [6] Jung JH et al. Physical Therapy Korea. 2011 18:76.
 [https://koreascience.kr/article/JAKO201116549676440.pa ge]
- [7] Segura-Ortí E et al. Acupuncture in Medicine. 2016
 34:171.[PMID: 26746173]
- [8] Patel VD et al. Hong Kong Physiotherapy Journal. 2018 38:41. [PMID: 30930578]
- [9] Ellythy MA. Bull Fac Phys Ther. 2012 17:29.
 [http://www.lib.pt.cu.edu.eg/5-Marzouk%20July%202012.pdf]
- [10] Metgud SC *et al. Indian Journal of Physical Therapy and Research.* 2020 **2**:99. [DOI: 10.4103/ijptr.ijptr_49_19]
- [11] Gohil D et al. Archives of Medicine and Health Sciences. 2020 8:191.[DOI: 10.4103/amhs.amhs_120_20]
- [12] Ramteke J et al. Int J of Allied Med Sci and Clin Res. 2018 6: 277.
- [13] Javaid HM et al. Annals of King Edward Medical University. 2016 22.[https://doi.org/10.21649/akemu.v22i3.1400]