

Functional prediction of hypothetical proteins in human adenoviruses

Shane Dorden & Padmanabhan Mahadevan*

Department of Biology, University of Tampa, 401 W. Kennedy Blvd., Box 3F, Tampa, FL, 33606, USA; Padmanabhan Mahadevan - Email: pmahadevan@ut.edu; *Corresponding author

Received October 11, 2015; Accepted October 15, 2015; Published October 31, 2015

Abstract:

Assigning functional information to hypothetical proteins in virus genomes is crucial for gaining insight into their proteomes. Human adenoviruses are medium sized viruses that cause a range of diseases. Their genomes possess proteins with uncharacterized function known as hypothetical proteins. Using a wide range of protein function prediction servers, functional information was obtained about these hypothetical proteins. A comparison of functional information obtained from these servers revealed that some of them produced functional information, while others provided little functional information about these human adenovirus hypothetical proteins. The PFP, ESG, PSIPRED, 3d2GO, and ProtFun servers produced the most functional information regarding these hypothetical proteins.

Keywords: Hypothetical protein; human adenovirus; pathogen; protein function prediction; web server

Background:

Human adenoviruses (HAdVs) are double stranded DNA viruses that are around 35 kb in size [1]. These viruses cause a wide variety of diseases such as acute respiratory disease [2], keratoconjunctivitis [3], and gastroenteritis [4]. Therefore, HAdVs are important human pathogens. There are 7 species of human adenoviruses, species A-G which are further divided into different strains/types increasingly based on bioinformatics and genomics approaches due to the availability of whole genome sequences, whereas earlier, this was done based on serum neutralization and hemagglutination inhibition [5]. In recent years, the availability of whole genome sequences of various organisms has increased dramatically due to next generation sequencing methods. For example, there was a 21% annual increase in the number of virus nucleotide base-pairs in GenBank and an overall annual increase in all GenBank nucleotide base-pairs of 43.6% in 2014 [6]. Many of the proteins in sequenced genomes are annotated as "hypothetical proteins." These are predicted proteins that do not have experimental evidence for their translation [7] nor do they have a characterized function [8]. In order to better understand the genomes to which these proteins belong, it will be extremely helpful to assign functions to these hypothetical proteins. Even with their relatively small genome size compared with

prokaryotes and eukaryotes, HAdVs possess several hypothetical proteins that need to be functionally annotated.

A myriad of computational approaches to protein function prediction have been developed ranging from template based methods where a template with known function and structure is used to predict function of a query sequence [9], to text mining methods [10] to computational intelligence methods [11]. In this study, we used several well known protein function prediction servers to annotate HAdV hypothetical proteins. We found that some of these servers provided little to no information about the function of these HAdV hypothetical proteins, while others provided information that could potentially be used by wet bench biologists to further experimentally characterize these proteins. These results can serve as a guide to users, particularly wet bench biologists, as to which servers to use to predict the function of hypothetical proteins, particularly those belonging to viruses.

Methodology:

Twenty-eight hypothetical proteins across 11 HAdVs **Table 1 (see supplementary material)** were obtained from GenBank [6] by searching these genomes for the keyword "hypothetical". Three additional proteins not explicitly annotated as

hypothetical (AAT97486, AAT97487, AAT97489 from HAdV-4) were chosen as they are very likely hypothetical due to BLASTP hits to other hypothetical proteins. One of the 31 proteins, ADN06471 from HAdV-40/41, although annotated as hypothetical, is known to be expressed [12]. All thirty-one of these proteins were then submitted to several sequence-based protein function prediction servers. These were PFP [13] (Protein Function Prediction), ESG [13] (Extended Similarity Group), ARGOT2 [14], BAR+ [15], PSIPRED [16], ProtFun [17], and dcGO [18]. The hypothetical proteins were also submitted to the fold recognition server Phyre2 [19] in order to determine the fold of these proteins. Protein domain prediction was performed using the protein families database server Pfam [20], and the SMART server [21] (Simple Modular Architecture Research Tool). The homology modeling server SWISS-MODEL [22] and the MuFOLD protein structure prediction server [23] were used to predict the structures of the hypothetical proteins. Successfully predicted structures were then submitted to the structure-based server 3d2GO [24]. Tables were then constructed for all servers' predictions for function of each individual protein, protein domain predictions, and fold predictions.

Results:

The average length of the 31 hypothetical proteins from 11 different human adenovirus genomes was 124 amino acids, with the high being 224 and a low of 58 (Table 1). The PFP server predicted functions for all 31 hypothetical proteins, some of which with high confidence, such as beta1-adrenergic receptor activity at 92% confidence for protein ACN88103 and purine nucleotide binding at 100% for protein AAW65500 (Table 2 (see supplementary material)). The ESG server was not as successful as the PFP server, but still managed to predict functions for 26 of the 31 possible hypothetical proteins. For instance, GTPase activity and GTP binding at 99% confidence was predicted as the function of AGF90820, and lyase activity and aldehyde-lyase activity at 89% confidence was predicted for ACN88103 as shown in Table 2.

ARGOT2 was only capable of predicting the function of 7 hypothetical proteins, such as hydrolase activity at 100% confidence for protein AGE46441 and transferase activity at 85% confidence for protein AAT97487 (Table 3 (see supplementary material)). Additionally as shown in Table III, BAR+ was unable to predict a function for any of the hypothetical proteins. Similarly, the dcGO server was unable to predict a function for any of the hypothetical proteins (table not shown). The PSIPRED server predicted functions for all 31 hypothetical proteins such as GTP binding at 94% probability for AFH58045 and oxidoreductase activity at 99% probability for protein AAT97539 (Table 4 (see supplementary material)). The fold recognition server Phyre2 identified potential folds in 8 of the 31 hypothetical proteins as shown in Table 4. These folds include: pyruvate kinase C-terminal domain-like at 17.70% confidence for AFH58048 and barrel-sandwich hybrid at 25.10% confidence for protein AAW65505. The ProtFun server predicted functions for 24 of the 31 proteins, along with categorical information concerning gene ontology and whether the protein was an enzyme or not (Table 5 (see supplementary material)). Protein AAT97531 was predicted to play a role in the cell envelope with 53% probability, be an enzyme with 46% probability, and finally, be a structural protein with 27% probability. Additionally, protein AFH58048 was predicted to play a role in transport and binding with 74% probability, be a

non-enzyme with 82% probability, and finally, be a growth factor with 7% probability as shown in Table 5. The homology modeling server SWISS-MODEL did not produce a structure output for any of the 31 hypothetical proteins for use with the 3d2GO server. However, the structure-based 3d2GO server predicted a function for 22 of the 31 hypothetical proteins from proposed structures of these proteins, provided by MuFold (Table 6 (see supplementary material)). For example, 3d2GO predicted oxidoreductase activity at 29% confidence as a function for AAW33184 and transport at 61% confidence for protein AAW65506. The protein family server Pfam found no domains for any of the hypothetical proteins (Table 7 (see supplementary material)). In contrast, the protein domain prediction server SMART produced results for 25 of the 31 hypothetical proteins, with the majority containing low complexity regions as shown in Table 7.

Discussion:

The PFP server predicted some form of "binding" for 25 of the 31 function predictions, and had an average prediction confidence of 81% (Table 2). Additionally, the ESG server made function predictions for 26 of the 31 proteins, averaging 50% confidence. ESG did not predict a function for all proteins as PFP did, but it provided more complete functional information, albeit with average to low confidence. For example, for protein AAT97533, 4-hydroxy-tetrahydrodipicolinate reductase, oxidoreductase activity, oxidoreductase activity, acting on CH or CH2 groups, NAD or NADP as acceptor, NADP binding, NAD binding, and NADPH binding was predicted at 32% confidence (Table 2). Also, for protein ADN06471 N-acetyltransferase activity, transferase activity, transferase activity, transferring acyl groups, transferase activity, and transferring acyl groups other than amino-acyl groups was predicted at 53% confidence.

ARGOT2 predicted only 7 functions, averaging 80% confidence (Table 3). The BAR+ and dcGO servers were both unable to predict a function for any of the proteins as shown in Table 3. PSIPRED was capable of predicting a function for all 31 proteins, averaging 91% confidence in the process (Table 4). The function of "structural constituent of ribosome" was predicted for 7 of the 31 proteins. Also, some form of "binding" was predicted for 16 of the 31 proteins and ranged from "calcium ion binding" to "actin binding". While the PSIPRED predictions were rather vague, the confidence of the predictions remained high across all 31 hypothetical proteins. Additionally, the fold recognition server Phyre2 only identified 8 potential matching folds out of a possible 31 and had an average confidence of 16.60% which is the probability of the query sequence and template being homologous (Table 4). Moreover, since Phyre2 utilizes fold recognition, the information the server provided allows users to gain insight into the fold of that protein.

ProtFun provided a more thorough functional prediction for each protein that it could predict a function for. ProtFun managed to make 24 of the possible 31 hypothetical protein function predictions (Table 5). Not only did ProtFun predict functions for the 24 proteins, it also predicted whether the protein was an enzyme or nonenzyme, and its gene ontology (GO). Across the 26 predictions, function prediction confidence averaged at 29%, enzyme/nonenzyme prediction confidence averaged at 63%, and gene ontology prediction confidence averaged at 17%. SWISS-MODEL did not find templates for any

of the proteins and therefore, could not produce a structure to use as input to the 3d2GO server. However, MuFold predicted a structure for 22 of the 31 hypothetical proteins (**Table 6**). Furthermore, structure-based server 3d2GO utilized those predicted structures to predict a function for the 22 proteins as shown in Table 6. Average prediction confidence was 50% and the server was able to predict a function from all structures proposed by MuFold. The function for protein AAW33433 was predicted to be RNA binding, ribosome, ribonucleoprotein complex, structural molecule activity, intracellular, translation, rRNA binding and structural constituent of ribosome at 99% confidence, but aside from this thorough prediction, most other predictions were rather vague, such as “cytosol”, “cytoplasm”, and “membrane” as shown in **Table 6**. While Pfam and SMART are not strictly protein function prediction servers, we wanted to investigate whether they could provide pertinent domain information for the HAdV hypothetical proteins. Pfam also did not find any domains in these proteins. Further, while the SMART server did find matching regions for 26 of the 31 hypothetical proteins, the information provided from the server was very minimal as 23 of the 26 matches were “low complexity regions” and the other 3 were classified as “signal peptide regions” (**Table 7**).

Conclusions:

It is apparent from the results no single server produces the most complete functional determination of these “hard” HAdV hypothetical proteins. The servers that provided the most information were PFP, ESG, PSIPRED, 3d2GO, and ProtFun. The servers which provided very little or no functional information were ARGOT2, BAR+, and dcGO. We believe that the best option for functional prediction of hypothetical proteins is to use a multitude of servers and analyze the results produced. Furthermore, we agree with Radivojac *et al.* [25] that these servers need to be improved in order to better predict protein function.

Acknowledgement:

We wish to thank the Department of Biology at the University of Tampa for awarding Shane Dorden a summer research fellowship in order to facilitate completion of this work.

References:

[1] Davison AJ *et al.* *J Gen Virol.* 2003 **84**: 2895 [PMID: 14573794]

- [2] Lai CY *et al.* *PloS one* 2013 **8**: e53614 [PMID: 23326469]
 [3] Huang G *et al.* *PloS One* 2014 **9**: e110781 [PMID: 25343525]
 [4] Lee JI *et al.* *Microbiol Immunol.* 2012 **56**: 523 [PMID: 22530970]
 [5] Ghebremedhin B, *Eur J Microbiol Immunol.* 2014 **4**: 26 [PMID: 24678403]
 [6] Benson DA *et al.* *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2015 **43**: D30 [PMID: 25414350]
 [7] Ijaq J *et al.* *Front Genet.* 2015 **6**: 119 [PMID: 25873935]
 [8] Galperin MY & Koonin EV, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2004 **32**: 5452 [PMID: 15479782]
 [9] Petrey D *et al.* *Curr Opin Struct Biol.* 2015 **32**: 33 [PMID: 25678152]
 [10] Verspoor KM, *Methods Mol Biol.* 2014 **1159**: 95 [PMID: 24788263]
 [11] Tiwari AK & Srivastava R, *Int J Proteomics.* 2014 **2014**: 845479 [PMID: 25574395]
 [12] Dix I & Leppard KN, *J Gen Virol.* 1995 **76**: 1051 [PMID: 9049357]
 [13] Khan IK *et al.* *Bioinformatics* 2015 **31**: 271 [PMID: 25273111]
 [14] Falda M *et al.* *BMC bioinformatics* 2012 **13**: S14 [PMID: 22536960]
 [15] Piovesan D *et al.* *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2011 **39**: W197 [PMID: 21622657]
 [16] Buchan DWA *et al.* *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2013 **41**: W349 [PMID: 23748958]
 [17] Jensen LJ *et al.* *Bioinformatics* 2003 **19**: 635 [PMID: 12651722]
 [18] Fang H & Gough J, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2013 **41**: D536 [PMID: 23161684]
 [19] Kelley LA *et al.* *Nat Protoc.* 2015 **10**: 845 [PMID: 25950237]
 [20] Finn RD *et al.* *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2014 **42**: D222 [PMID: 24288371]
 [21] Letunic I *et al.* *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2015 **43**: D257 [PMID: 25300481]
 [22] Biasini M *et al.* *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2014 **42**: W252 [PMID: 24782522]
 [23] Zhang J *et al.* *Proteins* 2010 **78**: 1137 [PMID: 19927325]
 [24] 3d2GO server: <http://www.sbg.bio.ic.ac.uk/phyre/pfd/index.html>
 [25] Radivojac P *et al.* *Nat Methods.* 2013 **10**: 221 [PMID: 23353650]

Edited by P Kanguane

Citation: Dorden & Mahadevan, *Bioinformatics* 11(10): 466-473 (2015)

License statement: This is an Open Access article which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. This is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License.

Supplementary material:

Table 1: List of human adenovirus hypothetical proteins, corresponding genomes, and protein length

Protein GenBank Accession Number	HAdV (accession number)	Length (aa)
AFH58036	HAdV-14 (JN032132)	102
AFH58045		77
AFH58048		68
AFH58052	HAdV-14 (JX892927)	83
AGF90820		59
AGE46441		129
AAW33161	HAdV-3 (AY599834)	91
AAW33157		189
AAW33158		173
AAT97531	HAdV-7 (AY594255)	106
AAT97533		114
AAT97535		133
AAT97539		58
AAT97549	HAdV-16 (AY601636)	69
AAW33433		95
AAW33435		114
AAQ10567	HAdV-1 (AF534906)	132
AAW65500	HAdV-5 (AY601635)	115
AAW65501		106
AAW65502		112
AAW65505		137
AAW65506		121
ACN88099	HAdV-6 (FJ349096)	215
ACN88101		168
ACN88103		176
ACN88132		134
AAT97486	HAdV-4 (AY594254)	189
AAT97487		106
AAT97489		224
ACR78236	HAdV-22 (FJ619037)	130
ADN06471	HAdV-41 (HM565136 & DQ315364)	130

Table 2: PFP and ESG function predictions with probability (%).

Accession Number	HAdV	PFP Function, Probability	ESG Function, Probability
AFH58036	HAdV-14 (JN032132)	binding, 90%	DNA binding, DNA-directed RNA polymerase activity, transferase activity, nucleotidyltransferase activity, ribonucleoside binding, 68%
AFH58045		binding, 66%	N/A
AFH58048		binding, 65%	N/A
AFH58052		binding, 83%	nucleotide binding, DNA binding, DNA topoisomerase activity, DNA topoisomerase type I activity, ATP binding, isomerase activity, metal ion binding, 7%
AGF90820	HAdV-14 (JX892927)	binding, 64%	GTPase activity, GTP binding, 99%
AGE46441		binding, 74%	transferase activity, 59%
AAW33161	HAdV-3 (AY599834)	binding, 82%	N/A
AAW33157		myristoyltransferase activity, 78%	RNA binding, 69%
AAW33158	HAdV-7 (AY594255)	binding, 86%	nucleotide binding, 58%
AAT97531		transition metal ion binding, 86%	transferase activity, 70%
AAT97533		purine nucleotide binding, 81%	4-hydroxy-tetrahydrodipicolinate reductase, oxidoreductase activity, oxidoreductase activity, acting on CH or CH2 groups, NAD or NADP as acceptor, NADP binding, NAD binding, NADPH binding, 32%
AAT97535		ion binding, 67%	nucleotide binding, 52%
AAT97539		binding, 74%	N/A
AAT97549		adenyl nucleotide binding, 83%	N/A
AAW33433	HAdV-16 (AY601636)	adenyl nucleotide binding, 80%	ligase activity, 79%
AAW33435		binding, 69%	metal ion binding, 20%
AAQ10567	HAdV-1 (AF534906)	adenyl nucleotide binding, 85%	lyase activity, aldehyde-lyase activity, 61%

AAW65500	HAAdV-5 (AY6016 35)	purine nucleotide binding, 100%	translation initiation factor activity, 32%
AAW65501		oxidoreductase activity, acting on the CH-NH2 group of donors, 68%	ATP binding, 16%
AAW65502		binding, 82%	nucleotide binding, 44%
AAW65505		interleukin-1 receptor antagonist activity, 94%	metal ion binding, iron-sulfur cluster binding, 2 iron, 2 sulfur cluster binding, 21%
AAW65506		beta1-adrenergic receptor activity, 92%	methyltransferase activity, S-adenosylmethionine-dependent methyltransferase activity, transferase activity, 58%
ACN88099	HAAdV-6 (FJ34909 6)	purine nucleotide binding, 98%	ATP binding, 28%
ACN88101		adenyl nucleotide binding, 85%	metal ion binding, 23%
ACN88103		beta1-adrenergic receptor activity, 92%	lyase activity, aldehyde-lyase activity, 89%
ACN88132		purine nucleotide binding, 83%	transferase activity, 61%
AAT97486	HAAdV-4 (AY5942 54)	binding, 81%	metal ion binding, 51%
AAT97487		cation binding, 90%	oxidoreductase activity, 26%
AAT97489		binding, 63%	metal ion binding, 85%
ACR78236	HAAdV- 22 (FJ61903 7)	lactate transporter activity, 83%	catalytic activity, 33%
ADN06471	HAAdV- 41 (HM565 136 & DQ3153 64)	binding, 77%	N-acetyltransferase activity, transferase activity, transferase activity, transferring acyl groups, transferase activity, transferring acyl groups other than amino-acyl groups, 53%

Table 3: ARGOT2 and BAR+ function predictions with confidence (%).

Accession Number	HAAdV	ARGOT2 Function, Confidence	BAR+ Function
AFH58036	HAAdV-14 (JN032132)	N/A	N/A
AFH58045		N/A	N/A
AFH58048		N/A	N/A
AFH58052		N/A	N/A
AGF90820	HAAdV-14 (JX892927)	N/A	N/A
AGE46441		hydrolase activity, 100%	N/A
AAW33161	HAAdV-3 (AY599834)	metal ion binding, 66%	N/A
AAW33157		N/A	N/A
AAW33158		N/A	N/A
AAT97531	HAAdV-7 (AY594255)	transferase activity, 85%	N/A
AAT97533		N/A	N/A
AAT97535		N/A	N/A
AAT97539		N/A	N/A
AAT97549		N/A	N/A
AAW33433	HAAdV-16 (AY601636)	N/A	N/A
AAW33435		N/A	N/A
AAQ10567	HAAdV-1 (AF534906)	N/A	N/A
AAW65500	HAAdV-5 (AY601635)	nucleotide binding, 25%	N/A
AAW65501		N/A	N/A
AAW65502		N/A	N/A
AAW65505		N/A	N/A
AAW65506		N/A	N/A
ACN88099	HAAdV-6 (FJ349096)	N/A	N/A
ACN88101		N/A	N/A
ACN88103		N/A	N/A
ACN88132		N/A	N/A
AAT97486	HAAdV-4 (AY594254)	N/A	N/A
AAT97487		transferase activity, 85%	N/A
AAT97489		N/A	N/A
ACR78236	HAAdV-22 (FJ619037)	hydrolase activity, 100%	N/A
ADN06471	HAAdV-41 (HM565136 & DQ315364)	hydrolase activity, 100%	N/A

Table 4: PSIPRED function prediction with probability (%) and Phyre2 fold prediction where confidence (%) is the probability that the sequence and template are homologous.

Accession Number	HAdV	PSIPRED Function, Probability	Phyre2 Fold	Confidence
AFH58036	HAdV-14 (JN032132)	calcium ion binding, 93%	N/A	8.10%
AFH58045		GTP binding, 94%	Ferredoxin-like	13.50%
AFH58048		sodium ion transmembrane transporter activity, 98%	Pyruvate kinase C-terminal domain-like	17.70%
AFH58052	HAdV-14 (JX892927)	channel activity, 96%	N/A	38.20%
AGF90820		structural constituent of ribosome, 100%	N/A	8.10%
AGE46441		serine-type peptidase activity, 88%	N/A	33.80%
AAW33161	HAdV-3 (AY599834)	receptor binding, 93%	N/A	46.40%
AAW33157		oxidoreductase activity, 77%	N/A	11.60%
AAW33158		structural constituent of ribosome, 94%	N/A	20%
AAT97531	HAdV-7 (AY594255)	GTP binding, 99%	Spectrin repeat-like	16.20%
AAT97533		DNA binding, 91%	N/A	55.50%
AAT97535		protein kinase activity, 80%	DHS-like NAD/FAD-binding domain	11%
AAT97539	HAdV-16 (AY601636)	oxidoreductase activity, 99%	N/A	26%
AAT97549		zinc ion binding, 98%	N/A	31.20%
AAW33433		ATP binding, 97%	N/A	25.90%
AAW33435	HAdV-1 (AF534906)	ATP binding, 99%	N/A	18%
AAQ10567		structural constituent of ribosome, 92%	N/A	20.30%
AAW65500		zinc ion binding, 79%	N/A	10.20%
AAW65501	HAdV-5 (AY601635)	structural constituent of ribosome, 99%	N/A	10.10%
AAW65502		structural constituent of ribosome, 97%	N/A	11.20%
AAW65505		structural constituent of ribosome, 97%	Barrel-sandwich hybrid	25.10%
AAW65506	HAdV-6 (FJ349096)	receptor binding, 91%	N/A	12.30%
ACN88099		transcription factor binding, 80%	N/A	0%
ACN88101		receptor binding, 86%	N/A	36.30%
ACN88103	HAdV-4 (AY594254)	receptor binding, 80%	N/A	21.80%
ACN88132		structural constituent of ribosome, 98%	SOCS box-like	14.30%
AAT97486		actin binding, 74%	N/A	24%
AAT97487	HAdV-22 (FJ619037)	receptor binding, 92%	Spectrin repeat-like	13.30%
AAT97489		peptidase activity, 89%	N/A	11%
ACR78236		receptor binding, 80%	N/A	33.30%
ADN06471	HAdV-41 (HM565136 & DQ315364)	cytokine activity, 82%	Nop domain	21.70%

Table 5: ProtFun function with probability (%), enzyme/nonenzyme with probability (%), and gene ontology predictions with probability (%).

Accession Number	HAdV	ProtFun Function, Probability	Enzyme/Nonenzyme, Probability	Gene Ontology, Probability
AFH58036	HAdV-14 (JN032132)	Translation, 14%	Nonenzyme, 82%	Transcription regulation, 24%
AFH58045		Energy metabolism, 31%	Nonenzyme, 77%	Immune response, 32%
AFH58048		Transport and binding, 74%	Nonenzyme, 82%	Growth factor, 7%
AFH58052	HAdV-14 (JX892927)	N/A	N/A	N/A
AGF90820		Translation, 8%	Nonenzyme, 74%	Structural protein, 10%
AGE46441		Amino acid biosynthesis, 21%	Nonenzyme, 71%	Growth factor, 6%
AAW33161	HAdV-3 (AY599834)	N/A	N/A	N/A
AAW33157		Translation, 30%	Enzyme, 37%	Growth factor, 3%
AAW33158		Transport and binding, 49%	Enzyme, 42%	Transcription regulation, 18%
AAT97531	HAdV-7 (AY594255)	Cell envelope, 53%	Enzyme, 46%	Structural protein, 27%
AAT97533		Translation, 5%	Nonenzyme, 74%	Structural protein, 24%
AAT97535		Translation, 30%	Nonenzyme, 74%	Structural protein, 5%
AAT97539	HAdV-16 (AY601636)	Energy metabolism, 37%	Enzyme, 56%	Growth factor, 3%
AAT97549		Energy metabolism, 25%	Nonenzyme, 76%	Structural protein, 21%
AAW33433		Energy metabolism, 22%	Nonenzyme, 77%	Growth factor, 3%
AAW33435	HAdV-1 (AF534906)	Regulatory functions, 27%	Nonenzyme, 78%	Transcription regulation, 44%
AAQ10567		N/A	N/A	N/A
AAW65500		HAdV-5 (AY601635)	Energy metabolism, 13%	Enzyme, 47%
AAW65501	Energy metabolism, 23%		Enzyme, 33%	Structural protein, 29%
AAW65502	Translation, 20%		Enzyme, 29%	Structural protein, 31%
AAW65505	HAdV-6 (FJ349096)	N/A	N/A	N/A
AAW65506		N/A	N/A	N/A
ACN88099		Regulatory functions, 19%	Nonenzyme, 73%	Transcription, 27%
ACN88101		N/A	N/A	N/A

ACN88103		N/A	N/A	N/A
ACN88132		Translation, 27%	Nonenzyme, 82%	Growth factor, 13%
AAT97486	HAdV-4 (AY594254)	Translation, 21%	Nonenzyme, 72%	Immune response, 9%
AAT97487		Cell envelope, 53%	Enzyme, 37%	Structural protein, 27%
AAT97489		Translation, 30%	Nonenzyme, 76%	Structural protein, 8%
ACR78236	HAdV-22 (FJ619037)	Energy metabolism, 35%	Enzyme, 30%	Growth factor, 11%
ADN06471	HAdV-41 (HM565136 & DQ315364)	Energy metabolism, 30%	Nonenzyme, 82%	Signal transducer, 14%

Table 6: 3d2Go function predictions and confidence (%) and whether MuFold predicted a structure for the protein.

Accession Number	HAdV	3d2Go, Confidence	MuFold	
AFH58036	HAdV-14 (JN032132)	adenyl ribonucleotide binding, adenyl nucleotide binding, carbohydrate metabolic process, ribonucleotide binding, purine ribonucleotide binding, 12%	Predicted structure	
AFH58045		metabolic process, 40%	Predicted structure	
AFH58048		membrane, 34%	Predicted structure	
AFH58052		methyltransferase activity, 47%	Predicted structure	
AGF90820	HAdV-14 (JX892927)	metal ion binding, 50%	Predicted structure	
AGE46441		ion binding, 21%	Predicted structure	
AAW33161	HAdV-3 (AY599834)	cytoplasm, 25%	Predicted structure	
AAW33157		N/A	No structure predicted	
AAW33158		membrane, 61%	Predicted structure	
AAT97531	HAdV-7 (AY594255)	N/A	No structure predicted	
AAT97533		membrane, 98%	Predicted structure	
AAT97535		cytosol, 66%	Predicted structure	
AAT97539		protein binding, 12%	Predicted structure	
AAT97549		ion binding, 47%	Predicted structure	
AAW33433		HAdV-16 (AY601636)	RNA binding, ribosome, ribonucleoprotein complex, structural molecule activity, intracellular, translation, rRNA binding, structural constituent of ribosome, 99%	Predicted structure
AAW33435			N/A	No structure predicted
AAQ10567	HAdV-1 (AF534906)	oxidation reduction, 20%	Predicted structure	
AAW65500	HAdV-5 (AY601635)	translation, intracellular, 100%	Predicted structure	
AAW65501		N/A	No structure predicted	
AAW65502		N/A	No structure predicted	
AAW65505		transferase activity, transferring one-carbon groups, 26%	Predicted structure	
AAW65506		transport, 61%	Predicted structure	
ACN88099	HAdV-6 (FJ349096)	protein binding, 38%	Predicted structure	
ACN88101		N/A	No structure predicted	
ACN88103		metabolic process, 69%	Predicted structure	
ACN88132		N/A	No structure predicted	

AAT97486	HAdV-4 (AY594254)	N/A	No structure predicted
AAT97487		protein modification process, 59%	Predicted structure
AAT97489		N/A	No structure predicted
ACR78236	HAdV-22 (FJ619037)	hydrolase activity, acting on acid anhydrides, 14%	Predicted structure
ADN06471	HAdV-41 (HM565136 & DQ315364)	intracellular, 96%	Predicted structure

Table 7: Pfam and SMART domain predictions

Accession Number	HAdV	Pfam	SMART
AFH58036	HAdV-14 (JN032132)	No domain found	Low complexity region
AFH58045		No domain found	No domain found
AFH58048		No domain found	Signal peptide, low complexity region
AFH58052		No domain found	No domain found
AGF90820	HAdV-14 (JX892927)	No domain found	No domain found
AGE46441		No domain found	Low complexity region
AAW33161	HAdV-3 (AY599834)	No domain found	Low complexity region
AAW33157		No domain found	No domain found
AAW33158		No domain found	Low complexity region
AAT97531	HAdV-7 (AY594255)	No domain found	Signal peptide, low complexity region
AAT97533		No domain found	Low complexity region
AAT97535		No domain found	Low complexity region
AAT97539		No domain found	Signal peptide
AAT97549		No domain found	Low complexity region
AAW33433		HAdV-16 (AY601636)	No domain found
AAW33435	No domain found		Low complexity region
AAQ10567	No domain found		Low complexity region
AAW65500	HAdV-5 (AY601635)	No domain found	Low complexity regions (# = 2)
AAW65501		No domain found	Low complexity region
AAW65502		No domain found	Low complexity region
AAW65505		No domain found	Low complexity region
AAW65506		No domain found	Low complexity region
ACN88099	HAdV-6 (FJ349096)	No domain found	Low complexity regions (# = 4)
ACN88101		No domain found	Low complexity region
ACN88103		No domain found	Low complexity regions (# = 2)
ACN88132		No domain found	Low complexity region
AAT97486	HAdV-4 (AY594254)	No domain found	Low complexity region
AAT97487		No domain found	Signal peptide, low complexity region
AAT97489		No domain found	Low complexity regions (# = 2)
ACR78236	HAdV-22 (FJ619037)	No domain found	Low complexity region
ADN06471	HAdV-41 (HM565136 & DQ315364)	No domain found	No domain found